## Attachment 1

#	Criteria	Description	Max Value	Scoring Description	Assigned Value	Weight	Score
П	Risk Assessment - Health and	The extent to which the project will address/mitigate risk to	100	Significant impact		18%	0
1			50	Moderate impact			
+	Safety	public health and/or occupational health and safety	10	Low impact			
ل			0	No impact	<u> </u>		
_				I			
		5 categories of projects that support different classifications of projects which vary depending on importance and impact to the public	400	Essential Projects - this category includes capital projects that have a legal, safety, regulatory or other mandated			
			100	minimum requirement where not achieving these requirements would lead to legal action, fines, penalties or high risk			0
				of liability against the RDN.	_		
			80	Priority Projects - this category includes projects required to maintain critical components in a state of good repair.		12%	
			٥٥ .	These projects are not mandatory but will maintain critical infrastructure at current service levels.			
2	Project Category		60	Efficiency or Cost Savings Projects - These projects have a positive breakeven over the life of the capital investment due			
				to operational cost savings and will provide financial benefits in the future.			
				State of Good Repair/Lifecycle - This category includes projects that maintain existing capital infrastructure. These			
				projects are not mandatory but if the project is not undertaken the current level of service/condition of the capital			
				asset will decline.			
				Improvement (non-essential) - This category includes: projects that will increase current service level; new facilities;			
ш				expansion of existing facilities or new initiatives.			
$\overline{}$		T	100	Einanced entirely from external courses and must proceed immediately to leverage funds		1	
, 1	Financing		90	Financed entirely from external sources and must proceed immediately to leverage funds Financed entirely from external sources		12%	0
ı		Financing source	75	75% - 99% of project financing is from external sources			
1 3 l			50	50% - 74% of project financing is from external sources	╡		
			25	25% - 49% of project financing is from external sources			
			10	Less than 25% of project financing is from external sources			
ل			0	Financed entirely from debt, tax requisition or tax requisition funded reserves		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
_		-		<del>-</del>			
	Service Levels	Impact on the service levels to the public as a result of the project	100	Addresses a current service level deficiency so level of service standard is achieved	_	10%	0
4			50	Increases level of service			
_			0	Has no impact on service level			
$\overline{}$						1	
ı			100	Extends asset life of infrastructure and/or reduces consequence/probability of failure of the asset		10%	0
5	Risk Assessment - Asset Life	The extent to which the project will address/mitigate risk to asset failure and/or condition degradation					
ı	a		50	Little or no impact to increase asset life and/or does not reduce consequence/probability of failure of the asset			
			-50	Decrease in asset life and/or increases consequence/probability of failure			
_							
		The extent to which the project will address/mitigate risk to climate change including emission reduction.		Will enhance actions to eliminate damage to the environment.		8%	0
ارا			50	Will enhance actions to mitigate damage to the environment (5-10 yrs.)			
6			25	Will enhance actions to mitigate damage to the environment (1-5yrs))			
			-100	Will have no impact to mitigate damage to the environment			
_			-100	Actions will have a negative impact to the environment			
$\neg$			100	Directly aligned with Strategic Plan, Community and/or Master Plan	-		0
7	Strategic Alignment I he project's alignment with strategic goals as se departmental Master or Strategic Plan	The project's alignment with strategic goals as set out in a	50	Indirectly linked to Strategic Plan, Community and/or Master Plan		8%	
ا_		departmental Master or Strategic Plan	0	No alignment with any strategic goals			
_	-						
			100	Significant decrease in operating costs (> \$50,000)	0		0
			50	Moderate decrease in operating costs (between \$20,000 and \$50,000)		8%	
8	Operating Budget Impact	Incremental increase/decrease on operating budget as a	25	Marginal decrease in operating costs (between \$5,000 and \$20,000)			
0	(Annual)	result of the project	-25	Little or no impact on operating costs (+/- \$5,000)  Marginal increase in operating costs (between \$5,000 and \$20,000)			
١ ١			-25	Moderate increase in operating costs (between \$2,000 and \$20,000)  Moderate increase in operating costs (between \$20,000 and \$50,000)			
				moderate moreuse in operating costs (between \$20,000 and \$30,000)			
				Significant increase in operating costs (> \$50,000)			
			-100	Significant increase in operating costs (> \$50,000)			
	Ontimization of current			Significant increase in operating costs (> \$50,000)  Project will strongly optimize current resources and/or improve the efficiency of existing processes			
	Optimization of current	The project combines functions and services to optimize	-100 100	Project will strongly optimize current resources and/or improve the efficiency of existing processes	0	90/	0
9	resources/ Efficiency	The project combines functions and services to optimize resources and/or generate efficiencies	-100 100 50		0	8%	0
9			-100 100 50	Project will strongly optimize current resources and/or improve the efficiency of existing processes	0	8%	0
9	resources/ Efficiency		-100 100 50 0	Project will strongly optimize current resources and/or improve the efficiency of existing processes  Current resources will be moderately optimized and /or moderately improve efficiency of existing processes  Status quo or degradation of current resources level	0	8%	0
	resources/ Efficiency		-100 100 50 0	Project will strongly optimize current resources and/or improve the efficiency of existing processes  Current resources will be moderately optimized and /or moderately improve efficiency of existing processes  Status quo or degradation of current resources level  Generates a significant economic benefit to the local economy	0		
9	resources/ Efficiency Improvement	resources and/or generate efficiencies	-100 100 50 0	Project will strongly optimize current resources and/or improve the efficiency of existing processes  Current resources will be moderately optimized and /or moderately improve efficiency of existing processes  Status quo or degradation of current resources level	0	8%	0