## Re: Educational

#### **Science Information for RDN Directors**

Ladies and Gentlemen, RDN Directors,

As you are charged with making a decision on yet another potential cell tower installation, which can have long lasting implications for young and old, including your own family, I am including information to provide you with the opportunity to gain basic knowledge, that your decision will be based on indisputable facts of today's science, and not mere blind faith in the telecommunication industry, Health Canada, ISED, or ICNIRP.

Historically, Health Canada's pathetic track record and unhealthy closeness to industry caused needless suffering ,premature death of countless people, and environmental degradation, while industry prospered. Since our government receives BILLIONS in spectrum air-wave auctions from the telecom industry, all science is brushed under the table, which includes the *double peer reviewed*, US government funded, 10 year, National Toxicology Program Study (NTP), as well as the 10 year study on cell tower radiation, by the world renown, Ramazzini Institute.

I hope you will take the time to read at least a portion of the information provided, by scientists and informed medical professionals. As a certified EMF radiation consultant, I am in contact with doctors, scientists and EMF experts on a regular basis, and have translated German medical research papers on EMF exposure.

Initially, I prepared to speak on this topic in person, but since the RDN Directors meeting was postponed numerous times, I am currently unable able to present in person on the 27th, as I have to attend a 4 day, international EMF seminar in Vancouver, for professional EMF radiation consultants, like myself. This event was arranged month in advance, and the participants of this event are flying in from Boston, San Francisco, ect. and even Australia.

It is rather ironic, that the seminar which I am attending is about EMF radiation, which TELUS and Rogers cell towers emit non-stop.

In light of my inability to attend in person, I request to present via Zoom, to the RDN Directors.

I will speak about the importance of having a basic understanding of the subject at hand, knowledge which TELUS and Rogers lobbyist prefer not to share with you, for obvious reasons.

#### Without 'informed consent', there can be no consent!

A NASA rocket scientist, who was effected by chronic, non-ionizing, man-made radiation exposure, put in these simple words: "It's not rocket science, once you understand the basics!"

Kind Regards,
Marcus Schluschen
Cert. EMF Radiation Consultant

## Oceania Radiofrequency Scientific Advisory Association Inc. (ORSAA)

ORSAA is a not-for-profit organization composed of scientists and professionals from various academic disciplines who are investigating the scientific research that relates to the effects of artificial electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on humans, animals and the environment.

ORSAA's ethos is to provide an independent perspective on the relevant science and facilitate evidence-based decision making by various stake-holders of modern society including clinicians, educators, safety officers and policy makers on issues regarding exposure to EMR.

#### https://www.orsaa.org/council-letter-with-statements.html

- ORSAA Letter to Councils
- Statement regarding harmful biological effects of communication radiofrequencies
- Precaution in Action Global Public Health Advice Following BioInitiative 2007 by Dr. Gerd Oberfeld,
   MD

#### Physicians' Health Initiative for Radiation and Environment (phiremedical.org)

The Physicians' Health Initiative for Radiation and Environment (**PHIRE**) is an independent association of medical doctors and associated specialists assembled for the purposes of improving education regarding health effects of non-ionising radiation. We collate research produced globally on this issue, share and critique it and also conduct our own research.

We use the resulting knowledge to help educate ourselves and others, improve best practice guidance, and facilitate the protection and support of vulnerable groups.

## 2020 Consensus Statement of UK and International Medical and Scientific Experts and Practitioners on Health Effects of Non-Ionising Radiation (NIR)

(signed by 15 medical and science organizations, (representing over 3500 medical doctors)

The statement reflects the consensus from the most recent <u>independent expert global forums</u> on the acute and chronic health effects resulting from Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) exposure. The statement clarifies the medical community's serious concerns surrounding the deployment of 5G and the continued use of RFR in public spaces.

Urgent action is required to protect the health of humans and wildlife, as ICNIRP 'safety' guidelines are not protective!

#### 2020-Non-Ionizing-Radiation- Consensus-Statement.pdf (phiremedical.org)

https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/2020-Non-lonising-Radiation-Consensus-Statement.pdf

# The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP): Conflicts of interest, corporate capture and the push for 5G

This report deals with an issue of which the importance cannot be overrated: the possible health effects of Radiofrequency Radiation (RfR) or electro magnetic fields (EMF); It deals more specifically with how the scientific debate has been hijacked by corporate interests from the Telecom industry and conflicts of interest.

ICNIRP pretends to be scientifically neutral, and free from vested interests of the Telecom industry. We show with this study that this is 'playing with the truth' or simply a lie.

https://ehtrust.org/wp-content/uploads/ICNIRP-report-FINAL-JUNE-2020.pdf

- Corporate capture
- Conflicts of Interest
- Historic overview of ICNIRP and accusations of COI
- Self-declared legitimacy
- Will world safety standards really be safe?
- The Telecom Lobby
- The composition of ICNIRP is very one sided. With only one medically qualified person (but not an expert in wireless radiation) out of a total of 14 scientists in the ICNIRP Commission.
- Only one medically qualified person (but not an expert in wireless radiation) out of a total of 14 scientists in the ICNIRP Commission. This may not be the wisest composition when your remit is to offer advice on human health and safety to governments around the world.