

**Attachment 6
Additional Correspondence**

Kristy Marks

From: PETER BOLTEN [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 12:21 PM
To: ic.spectrumvictoria-victoriaspectre.ic@canada.ca
Cc: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com; [REDACTED] Kristy Marks
Subject: feedback Jan 25th regarding proposed Tower Hodges Road Parksville BC

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear applicants regarding proposed tower 1477 Hodges Road, parksville BC , 49.333209-124.367044

Hello I am writing to request an amendment to the proposed tower. We feel that 63 meters is too tall, the "surrounding trees to mitigate the sight/view" may not have designated protection, upkeep, care, nor replacement language, for the long-term This tower is too tall and forever permanent.

Also , we feel that if Rogers [currently in the process to acquire SHAW] and Telus want to act in good faith and acquire public approval to install a tower in this location, they need to acknowledge the local and Canadian's strong messages and calls for lower subscription and services fees, etc., for all their services, to fall in line with other established lower rates seen in western and commonwealth countries, and repeatedly reported upon by various media and journalism. These two[2] points are very important, and we cannot approve this proposal until Rogers/TELUS agrees and complies. To use a cliché, if the big powers want us to scratch their backs, they need to scratch ours. A process of overdue fair equality to put forward on this table.

n.b. we currently receive adequate connectivity at this home location.

Peter and family Bolten

[REDACTED]
Parksville BC
[REDACTED]

to
Kristy Marks
RON, "Planner";

Jan 25, 2023

Re: Proposed 63.0 meter Telus/Rogers Tower
49.333209 - 124.367044

Kristy Marks,

Hello, I am writing to request an amendment to the proposed tower. We feel that 63.0 meters is too tall, the "surrounding trees to mitigate the view" may not have designated protection in the long-term nor replacement clause. Too Tall and forever permanent.

Also, we feel that if Rogers (in process of taking over SHAW) & Telus want to act in good faith and acquire Tower-Locations, they need to acknowledge the local and Canadian Public's strong message to lower subscription fees, etc. for all their services, to fall in line with other lower rates found in other western & commonwealth countries.

These two (2) points are important, and we cannot approve of the proposal until Rogers/Telus agrees & complies.

To use a cliché, if the big powers want us to scratch their back, they need to scratch ours. A process of fair equality on this table.

Peter & Family Bolten

[REDACTED]
Parksville BC.
[REDACTED]

* n.b. We currently receive adequate connectivity here.

* please forward to the other parties in entirety.

Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Brian Gregg** <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>

Date: Sun, Feb 5, 2023 at 8:54 PM

Subject: Re: FW: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

To: [REDACTED]

Good Evening Dean:

Thanks for sharing your comments. Your input will be saved on file for the RDN, ISED, TELUS and Rogers to review.

Please find below our responses in green text.

Hello Vanessa & Lehann,

First, let me say thank you to you Vanessa for getting back to me in such a timely manner! As I said in my original email, I have a few concerns with this process & I will go into each below.

1. The Letter to Resident/Landowners

- a. When I send the original email, I had not received the letter, but it arrived early in the 2023. **TELUS and Rogers received the required address list for the large 500 + address mail-out directly from RDN staff in early December of 2022 and the mail out was completed shortly thereafter in early December. Given the large volume of public comments received during the public comment period and the fact that we have not received any "return to sender" envelopes thusfar suggests that the consultation packages we sent out were generally received on time by hundreds of households. It seems very unusual to us as to why you would not have received the letter from Canada Post until 2023 as you suggest (greater than a month after it was put in the mail). Nonetheless, it is positive to hear that you did receive the package and that you have been able to comment during the consultation period. Please be assured that your comments will be saved in the consultation file for the decision makers to consider.** I didn't review the letter, as I'd already been advised about the Webex Meeting on Jan 12th (5:00-6:00pm). **We are not clear as to why you did not review the letter; however, you contacted us as per the attached email on January 12, 2023 at 9:30 am and we immediately provided you with the login details for the Webex meeting on the same day at 2:39 pm via email.** I marked this date on my calendar & waited for that day. The morning of the meeting I looked at the letter to obtain the Sign-In Information for the Webex. To my surprise, the Sign-In was not included in the letter, which is very strange, as this would be the 1st place the 500 Residents that received a letter would look. I then had my wife sent an email to Brian Gregg asking for the Sign-in info, but it was after the deadline to request Sign-in info. Note, Brian never did respond to the email. **This is incorrect according to our records. Please kindly refer to the attached email wherein we responded to your email directly on the same day, within a few hours. Per our newspaper notice and mail-out package (attached) we always**

instruct people to contact us directly if they wish to receive details regarding how to login to the digital public meeting. The reasons are multiple: a) this approach ensures that we can keep a record of who may wish to attend the meeting (i.e. we can keep an attendance log); and b) this allows us to send a link directly to prospective attendees so that they can simply click the link and login and mitigates a possibility that people have login issues.

b.

2. The Webex Meeting

- a. I attended a [REDACTED] to view the Webex with them. They live on [REDACTED] in French Creek, but didn't receive a letter, as they were just outside the catchment area. **Is there a particular concern here that you may wish to have us address? If your friends were located outside of the expanded 500 + address consultation area, then they would not have been required to receive a package in the mail. Please note that the mail-out distribution list was greatly expanded upon relative to what is required. Specifically, the RDN measured the consultation radius from the edge of the large 37 acre subject property lot line rather than from the proposed tower structure location as was supposed to be the case. We can confirm that the mail-out distribution that was completed greatly expanded upon the baseline requirements. If the ISED mail out process were followed we would have only been required to mail-out packages within a radius of 3 x the proposed tower height, for instance.**
- b. Accessing the Webex was extremely difficult.
 - i. I tried to sign in on my Laptop, I was unsuccessful.
 - ii. [REDACTED]
 - iii. I tried on my Cell-phone & was able to get through. The strange part of this is that I used the Rogers Cellular Network, which is not supposed to work in the [REDACTED] area.
[REDACTED]
- c. My concern with the Webex is that if myself & my [REDACTED] had this much signing into the meeting, how would other people that don't have multi platforms get signed in.
- d. I'm very good with Computers, & using Webex / Zoom etc. I had a lot of trouble, how would people with very limited computer knowledge access this meeting.
- e. I'm aware of several residents that were not able to get onto the meeting.

The Webex meeting was very well attended by over 50 attendees, many of whom spoke on the mic and asked questions. It was a very well attended and engaging session. There were representatives from the RDN at the meeting to monitor the session. Indeed, we even had attendees from outside of the local area as far away as from Ontario. We are not aware of others having issues logging into the meeting as there was no requirement to download any software and people only had to either click the link and enter the password we provided or dial in from a phone.

If you have questions or if you would like me to arrange some time to walk you through the content from that Webex meeting, we'd be pleased to arrange time to do so for you. We want to ensure that you have full information. Do you have outstanding questions?

2. Lack of a Public / In-person Meeting

- a. Something that affects this many people should have a Public / In-person Meeting.
- b. This would give both sides of this issue to discuss it. **If people have questions or need information, they are welcome to contact us in any format such as via email, regular mail or even over the phone. My cell number is 778 870 1388 if anyone wishes to call me directly. I always respond.**

3. Alternate Locations

- a. I brought up a couple possible locations on the Webex that will provide very good sight lines, & affect a lot fewer Homes. **Thanks for confirming your attendance at the meeting.**
- b. Church Road Industrial Area

i. Along Church Rd. there are a couple good spots, including the Co-op, Fenceline & DBL. All of these properties have large industrial lots & are at least 150' higher than the proposed Cell Tower location. This additional height of these lots will assist in providing better Cell Coverage for more areas.

ii. School District 69 Bus Garage, 1365 Springhill Rd. There is already a small tower on top of the Maintenance Building & this lot provides the additional high like the other spots noted.

iii. There are numerous other locations along Springhill Rd & the other industrial lots in that area that would provide excellent cell coverage, without affecting the number of residents which the proposed site affects.

These Church Road area locations are all outside of TELUS' and Rogers' search area for French Creek as we discussed and as both TELUS' and Rogers' radiofrequency engineers specifically noted to you at the public meeting. The proposed facility needs to be within reasonable proximity to the residences near Morningstar Golf course if reliable voice and data services are to be provided in that area.

TELUS and Rogers have sought to propose the facility on a large lot, setback from the majority of residences in the area amongst mature trees to enable screening of the lower half of the proposed facility from view. We are not aware of a superior siting option, within the search area, with a willing property owner at this time however we do welcome all ideas. Please refer to the attached consultation package.

The Church Road/Alberni Hwy area is a different service area that may need to be explored separately in the future, to put this into context. That area would represent an entirely different site on the network.

These are just a few of the concerns that I have regarding the Proposed Cell Tower. I have not discussed any medical information, as [REDACTED].

TELUS and Rogers both attest that their facilities will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is a regulatory requirement.

I feel that this process has not been transparent & many people who have concerns have not yet been heard.

We have heard from approximately 200 commenters thusfar. This is a very large amount of public comments relative to other proposals. We are available via email, regular mail and over the phone. We welcome all reasonable input.

An example of this is the use of Webex, which truly was very difficult to access, even for a person with very good computer skills.

Please kindly refer to our comments above re: Webex. One simply needed to click a link and enter the access code to attend. We provided you with the link.

I believe that the only way to ensure that the affected people have their concerns heard is to have an In-person, Public Meeting. This will give both sides that chance to speak.

Via the current process, people are welcome to submit all reasonable and relevant comments. All comments will be saved in the consultation file for the RDN, ISED, TELUS and Rogers.

Thanks for listening & I look forward to any thoughts, or ideas that you may have. I would ask that you forward this email to any Director & Decision Maker with the RDN that I have missed.

Sincerely, Dean

Dean Bruyckere

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Thanks Dean. Please feel free to call me at 778 870 1388 if you wish to discuss further.

Finally, please kindly note that we are not decision makers and are rather facilitating the required process. We will share all public comments with the decision makers at the RDN and ISED as well as with TELUS and Rogers for consideration.

Kind Regards,

**Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.**

UT 8t } 7568= B[fsht z { jwWUT Bkfw{nj | BSH% [: _ %H6
Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com

On Sun, Feb 5, 2023 at 6:46 PM [Redacted] wrote:

Hello Vanessa & Lehann,

First, let me say thank you to you Vanessa for getting back to me in such a timely manner! As I said in my original email, I have a few concerns with this process & I will go into each below.

1. The Letter to Resident/Landowners

- a. When I sent the original email, I had not received the letter, but it arrived early in the 2023. I didn't review the letter, as I'd already been advised about the Webex Meeting on Jan 12th (5:00-6:00pm). I marked this date on my calendar & waited for that day. The morning of the meeting I looked at the letter to obtain the Sign-In Information for the Webex. To my surprise, the Sign-In was not included in the letter, which is very strange, as this would be the 1st place the 500 Residents that received a letter would look. I then had my wife send an email to Brian Gregg asking for the Sign-in info, but it was after the deadline to request Sign-in info. Note, Brian never did respond to the email.

2. The Webex Meeting

- a. I attended a [REDACTED] home to view the Webex with them. They live on [REDACTED] in French Creek, but didn't receive a letter, as they were just outside the catchment area.
- b. Accessing the Webex was extremely difficult.

- i. I tried to sign in on my Laptop, I was unsuccessful.

- ii. [REDACTED]

- iii. I tried on my Cell-phone & was able to get through. The strange part of this is that I used the Rogers Cellular Network, which is not supposed to work in the [REDACTED] area.

[REDACTED]

- c. My concern with the Webex is that if myself & my [REDACTED] had this much signing into the meeting, how would other people that don't have multi platforms get signed in.
- d. I'm very good with Computers, & using Webex / Zoom etc. I had a lot of trouble, how would people with very limited computer knowledge access this meeting.
- e. I'm aware of several residents that were not able to get onto the meeting.

3. Lack of a Public / In-person Meeting

- 1. Something that affects this many people should have a Public / In-person Meeting.
- 2. This would give both sides of this issue to discuss it.

4. Alternate Locations

- 1. I brought up a couple possible locations on the Webex that will provide very good sight lines, & affect a lot fewer Homes.
- 2. Church Road Industrial Area

- i. Along Church Rd. there are a couple good spots, including the Co-op, Fenceline & DBL. All of these properties have large industrial lots & are at least 150' higher than the proposed Cell Tower location. This additional height of these lots will assist in providing better Cell Coverage for more areas.

- ii. School District 69 Bus Garage, 1365 Springhill Rd. There is already a small tower on top of the Maintenance Building & this lot provides the additional height like the other spots noted.

iii. There are numerous other locations along Springhill Rd & the other industrial lots in that area that would provide excellent cell coverage, without affecting the number of residents which the proposed site affects.

These are just a few of the concerns that I have regarding the Proposed Cell Tower. I have not discussed any medical information, as [REDACTED].

I feel that this process has not been transparent & many people who have concerns have not yet been heard. An example of this is the use of Webex, which truly was very difficult to access, even for a person with very good computer skills. I believe that the only way to ensure that the affected people have their concerns heard is to have an In-person, Public Meeting. This will give both sides that chance to speak.

Thanks for listening & I look forward to any thoughts, or ideas that you may have. I would ask that you forward this email to any Director & Decision Maker with the RDN that I have missed.

Sincerely, Dean

Dean Bruyckere

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Sent: December 28, 2022 2:23 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

Good afternoon Dean,

Thank you for your email and the useful details about where you saw the information about the proposed cell tower, which allowed me to find the notice (attached). I have cc'd Lehann Walalce, the Director of Area G, who is your representative on the RDN Board.

This particular project has not yet reached the RDN – this public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It looks like they've hired a contractor to conduct this initial public consultation. The RDN requires that the proponent (Telus and Rogers in this case) include all public correspondence and their replies in their package to the RDN. This public meeting is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers early in the process whether they're in support or have concerns about the proposed location. Once Telus/Rogers have finalized a site/project location and have done more studies, they will come to the RDN with a request for the RDN to issue a letter of concurrence. Part of the RDN process of considering this will include public consultation as well. Ultimately it is up to the federal government whether or not a cell tower is sited in a particular location. If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

There is an email contact in the ad for Brian Gregg briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com if you'd like to specifically inquire about the Webex platform or about the project, or would like to provide written submissions about the project.

I hope this is helpful.

Vanessa

--

Vanessa Craig

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: December 28, 2022 11:52 AM
To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Good Morning Vanessa;

I was not sure who to send this to, but I've been advised that you are the Chair of the RDN, so I thought that you would be able to forward this to whomever is overseeing this Proposed Cell Tower.

First, I'd like to thank a [REDACTED] of ours, who knows that we don't receive home delivery of the PQ News, for bringing us a copy of the Dec 21st PQ News Paper. We're not sure why we no longer receive the paper, but that issue is for someone else. Today was the 1st time that my wife & I saw anything about this Proposal, even though we are the owners of the neighbouring property.

It's been brought to my attention by [REDACTED] that live along Morning Star Golf Course that the News Paper Carrier who delivers papers in that area did not deliver the Paper on Dec 21st due to [REDACTED]. What this means is that almost no one knows about this Notice & have no idea about the meeting.

I'd like to find out when there will be a Public (In-Person) meeting to discuss this, as online meetings do not allow people the proper opportunity to ask questions or raise concerns! Also, there are a lot of people who have no idea what a digital public meeting via Webex is or how to even set-up a Webex meeting!

Also, is it not customary to send written notice to each person that could be affected by this project. I've spoken to many people who live around the Proposed Cell Tower Site & non of them have received written notice. These people living in the [REDACTED] area may have their property values permanently hindered by this Cell Tower, they should know about this Proposal & have a chance to speak publicly any concerns that they may have.

I'm one of the [REDACTED] to this Proposed Cell Tower Site & I was not notified in any way. I'm wondering if the Town of Qualicum has been advised, as they have been asking us to remove or trim treetops on our property so that planes can safely take off & land. This tower is very close to the flight path that the Air Ambulance takes when coming from Vancouver to Qualicum.

It appears to me that this project is something that is being forced through without the usual oversight, in an effort to get it done before anyone figures out what is going on.

Thanks for looking into this for me & forwarding my email to the proper person!

Sincerely,

Dean Bruyckere

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], Parksville

[REDACTED]

Kristy Marks

From: Isabelle Malyk [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, February 7, 2023 9:10 AM
To: Lehann Wallace; Doug O'Brien; Stuart McLean; Jessica Stanley; Leanne Salter; Kristy Marks; Vanessa Craig; Janice Perrino; Paul Manly; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Erin Hemmens; Ben Geselbracht; Sheryl Armstrong; leonardkroeg@nanaimo.ca; Bob Rogers; Ian Thorpe; Sean Wood; Lauren Melanson
Subject: 5G Tower 1477 Hodges Road

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

 EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

I would like the RDN Directors to also consider when they vote and remember that [REDACTED].
Would YOU really appreciate someone that does not live near YOU to make that decision for you and your property!
You are making a decision for me ,my health,my property values,Eagles that are in that bush, my ability to develop any where on my property without that Tower affecting my property ex Barns. This Tower will be in my backyard.
VOTE NO To TOWER
PS I would like all Directors voting on this Tower to personally visit Hodges Road before making a decision for all of us who do live on Hodges Road who do not want this Tower!!

With all due respect
Sincerely
Isabelle Malyk
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 9:55 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road Parksville

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 9:28 AM

To: gabor csiha [REDACTED]; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; leonard.krog@rdn.bc.ca

Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road Parksville

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board for consideration but we are tracking correspondence we receive.

Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: gabor csiha [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2023 2:03 PM

To: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>;

leonard.krog@rdn.bc.ca

Subject: 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road Parksville

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** **Verify links before clicking.**

Hello Everyone, Please be advised that we do NOT WANT to have the G5 Tower installed in our area, as mentioned in the information provided. The effect of farm land, farm animal and people living in the area and not to mention property value.

Have it installed in a more remote area away from people and everything around it.

Vanessa Craig
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: steve [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 2, 2023 12:27 PM
To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: 5G must be stopped

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

The people in "Authority" are responsible for destroying the flora and fauna with technology we do not need (5G) and Must be Stopped. Just say NO ! to AI , Smart cities , 15 minute cities , digital id , CBDCs the WEF and the UN to start with ! Thanks for your time , Sincerely Steve Walther

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Alf Jablonski

Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 2023 4:04 PM

To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; jessica.stanley@rdn.b; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; ***lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; 'paul' <manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>

Subject: 5G - cell tower Hodges Rd

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

RDN Directors, hello

I am NOT in favour of erecting a 5G cell tower on/at/or near Hodges Road now or in the near future. These towers do not produce better cell phone service and they are harming people, animals, and the environment. We need to protect the population from non-ionizing radiation.

I would also like to point out that the U.S. government now admits that 5G Radiation Causes Covid 19. NIH National Institute of Health. <https://rumble.com/embed/v24idmk/?pub=4>

“5G Technology and Induction of Coronavirus in Skin Cells” by M. Fioranelli, A. Sepehri, M.G. Roccia, M. Jafferany, O.Y. Olisova, K.M. Lomonosov, and T. Lotti
J Bio Regul Homeost Agents 2020 Jul 16; 10.23812/20-269-E-4 doi: 10.23812/20-269-E-4 (online ahead of print).
Published on NIH websites

Paper “Evidence for a Connection Between Coronavirus Disease -19 and Exposure to Radio Frequency Radiation from Wireless Communications Including 5G” by Beverly Rubic and Robert R. Brown
J Clin Transl Res. 2021 Oct 26; 7(5): 666-681 PMID: PMC8580522 PMID: 34778597

5G radiation affects people, animals and the environment and will do nothing to benefit this community. Exposure to cell phone radiations produces bio-chemical changes in worker honey bees. It kills bees and other important pollinators that are needed in this farm-type, community environment. It creates many illnesses in humans. Radiation interferes with the body's normal processes, preventing the repair of damaged DNA and an imbalance in the immune system. Some possible areas for damage: poor sperm quality, autism, Alzheimer's, brain cancer and childhood leukemia. It would similarly affect any farm animals in the area and ultimately their milk or meat production.

Conclusions from another 2018 report by the National Toxicology Program of the U.S. Department of Health done in a 10-year study of rodents at a cost of \$30 million U.S. concluded with 'clear evidence' a link between mobile phone radiation and cancer.

We do not want a 5G cell tower.

Regards,
Betty Jablonski
RDN – Area F

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 11:44 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: 5G cell tower - Hodges Road

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 11:00 AM
To: [REDACTED]; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; krog@nanaimo.ca; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>
Cc: mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: 5G cell tower - Hodges Road

Good morning,
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.
Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Alf Jablonski [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, February 4, 2023 8:18 PM
To: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca;
Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers
<bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; krog@nanaimo.ca; Sheryl Armstrong
<sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens
<erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>;
Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood
<councillorwood@parksville.ca>
Cc: Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com
Subject: 5G cell tower - Hodges Road

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

I am NOT in favour of erecting a 5G cell tower on/at/or near Hodges Road now or in the near future. These towers do not produce better cell phone service and they are harming people, animals, and the environment. We need to protect the population from non-ionizing radiation.

Thank you.

Betty Jablonski, [REDACTED], Q.B., B.C.

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 8:21 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence
Attachments: ORSAA Letter to Councils.pdf

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Hilary [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2023 3:42 PM
To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Cc: tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

I apologize, here is a copy of the letter from OSSA to Councils that is applicable to all councils.

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, February 12th, 2023 at 3:39 PM, Hilary [REDACTED] wrote:

Dear Vanessa,

Thank you for confirming that my letter was received and for the link, it is helpful. While the potential tower may not have reached the RDN Board for consideration just yet, I

want to ensure that my letter and concerns are entered into the public records about this tower.

It is critical that local municipalities consider independent scientific evidence that exists showing potential harm from 5G and previous generations of wireless technology. This tower is a big decision that has the potential to negatively effect people, animals and the environment.

Please find enclosed a **letter** written by The Oceania Radiofrequency Scientific Advisory Association (ORSAA) **to** Australian **councils** that is applicable to all councils. **ORSAA** is considered **one of the best databases** of studies, they are based in Australia.

<https://www.orsaa.org/resources.html>

"ORSAA makes submissions and gives witness statements to the Federal Parliamentary Inquiry into 5G Wireless Communication Feb 2020"

And this is another important resource containing scientific literature: **Physicians for Safe Tech - Scientific Literature:**

<https://mdsafetech.org/cell-tower-health-effects/>

Can you please confirm that my letter and this email will be entered into the public record and included in the staff report that is prepared for RDN board members when it comes time to consider and decide whether to grant a letter of concurrence or not. I realize the date for that meeting likely has not been sent yet, as the RDN must first receive the package from the Telecom consultant with public comments and a formal request to the RDN to ask for a letter of concurrence.

Thank you.

Hilary Jones

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Saturday, February 11th, 2023 at 11:54 AM, Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca> wrote:

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

Information on this potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board for consideration.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here:https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

From: Hilary [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 9:06 PM
To: Vanessa Craig; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley; Lauren Melanson; Bob Rogers; Lehann Wallace; Stuart McLean; Leanne Salter; Leonard Krog; Sheryl Armstrong; Ben Geselbracht; Erin Hemmens; Paul Manly; Janice Perrino; Ian Thorpe; Doug O'Brien; Sean Wood; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com
Subject: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear RDN Board Members, Electoral Area Directors, and Directors

I am writing to you today to urge you to **not grant a letter of concurrence for the following proposed installation of a 63.0 Meter Tower at 1477 Hodges Road (RDN Area G), French Creek.**

Please see my attached letter, which includes scientific references and evidence of harm from wireless technology. Please also attached it to the correspondence file.

Thank you.

Yours truly,
Hilary Jones
Vancouver Island Resident

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Friday, March 3, 2023 7:26 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

Lisa Grant (she/her) [Why do I share pronouns?](#)

General Manager, Development and Emergency Services
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Sent: Thursday, March 2, 2023 5:00 PM

To: Hilary [REDACTED]

Cc: tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

Hello,

Yes, communications will be provided to the Board if/when this cell tower project is considered by the Board.

Sincerely,

Vanessa

--

Vanessa Craig

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Hilary [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2023 12:45 PM

To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Cc: tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood

<councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Lisa Grant
<LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Hello Vanessa,

Thank you for confirming that you are tracking the correspondence you receive. I do hope it also gets placed into the public record for this tower and is part of the consideration of the Directors. This is a very important decision.

Hilary

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Monday, February 13th, 2023 at 9:00 AM, Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca> wrote:

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board but we are tracking correspondence we receive.

Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Hilary [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2023 3:40 PM
To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Cc: tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood

<councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: Re: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]

[Learn why this is important](#)



EXTERNAL

Verify links before clicking.

Dear Vanessa,

Thank you for confirming that my letter was received and for the link, it is helpful. While the potential tower may not have reached the RDN Board for consideration just yet, I want to ensure that my letter and concerns are entered into the public records about this tower.

It is critical that local municipalities consider independent scientific evidence that exists showing potential harm from 5G and previous generations of wireless technology. This tower is a big decision that has the potential to negatively effect people, animals and the environment.

Please find enclosed a **letter** written by The Oceania Radiofrequency Scientific Advisory Association (ORSAA) to Australian **councils** that is applicable to all councils. **ORSAA** is considered **one of the best databases** of studies, they are based in Australia.

<https://www.orsaa.org/resources.html>

"ORSAA makes submissions and gives witness statements to the Federal Parliamentary Inquiry into 5G Wireless Communication Feb 2020"

And this is another important resource containing scientific literature: **Physicians for Safe Tech - Scientific Literature:**

<https://mdsafetech.org/cell-tower-health-effects/>

Can you please confirm that my letter and this email will be entered into the public record and included in the staff report that is prepared for RDN board members when it comes time to consider and decide whether to grant a letter of concurrence or not. I

realize the date for that meeting likely has not been sent yet, as the RDN must first receive the package from the Telecom consultant with public comments and a formal request to the RDN to ask for a letter of concurrence.

Thank you.

Hilary Jones

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Saturday, February 11th, 2023 at 11:54 AM, Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca> wrote:

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

Information on this potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board for consideration.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,

Vanessa Craig

From: Hilary [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 9:06 PM
To: Vanessa Craig; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley; Lauren Melanson; Bob Rogers; Lehann Wallace; Stuart McLean; Leanne Salter; Leonard Krog; Sheryl Armstrong; Ben Geselbracht; Erin Hemmens; Paul Manly; Janice Perrino; Ian Thorpe; Doug O'Brien; Sean Wood; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com
Subject: 1477 Hodges Road - Do NOT Grant a Letter of Concurrence

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear RDN Board Members, Electoral Area Directors, and Directors

I am writing to you today to urge you to **not grant a letter of concurrence for the following proposed installation of a 63.0 Meter Tower at 1477 Hodges Road (RDN Area G), French Creek.**

Please see my attached letter, which includes scientific references and evidence of harm from wireless technology. Please also attached it to the correspondence file.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

Hilary Jones

Vancouver Island Resident

Important information for councils regarding 5G

Dear Councillors,


Please consider the important information below compiled by scientists and researchers from the Australian organisation ORSAA¹, in order to provide local councils around Australia with important evidence that needs to be considered when examining the proposed 5G technology.

The evidence for biological harm

ORSAA is the custodian of a huge collection of evidence regarding the health effects of wireless (RF-EMR) technologies. While there are many voices on this issue, the science itself can lay a truthful foundation on which to base deliberations. To this end, ORSAA has established the world's largest freely available categorised database of peer-reviewed scientific research on RF-EMR biological/health effects: www.orsaa.org. This database is intended to facilitate an evidence-based approach to health and risk assessment of wireless technologies. The ORSAA database currently contains over 3000 scientific studies sourced from all over the world. ORSAA is not funded by commercial entities and therefore without any financial conflicts of interests.

The exposure agent associated with 5G is Radio Frequency (RF) radiation which is part of the electromagnetic (EMR) spectrum that uses man made, continuous, pulsed and modulated signals based on frequencies from long AM radio waves through to millimetre length microwaves (just below infra-red and visible light). Based on objective searches from the database, ORSAA has found the following:

1. The weight of evidence clearly shows that exposure to wireless technologies have significant effects on humans, animals and plants. A cross sectional study of 1,955 scientific experimental studies within the ORSAA database (i.e. the laboratory studies and population-based studies examining biological and health effects of RF-EMR exposures) revealed that the majority of papers (68%) showed significant biological or health effects, as summarized in the figure below. Many of these papers used exposure levels way below the official 'safety' levels set by ICNIRP.



frequencies into the mix.

¹ The Oceania Radiofrequency Scientific Advisory Association (ORSAA) is the only independent scientific organization in the Australia-New Zealand region investigating the health risks of low-intensity radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation (RF-EMR), mostly microwave range RF-EMR generated for wireless communications and surveillance.

Health Effects

The potential adverse health outcomes of long term, low level exposures to microwave radiation from telecommunications technology are:

Cancer

The entire RF-EMR spectrum (including AM/FM range radio waves, and microwaves including 5G) was classified by the WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a Group 2B Possible Carcinogen (2011). The US National Toxicology Program has recently provided clear evidence of carcinogenicity and DNA damage associated with exposure to RF-EMR (National Toxicology Program, 2018; Smith-Roe et al., 2019). The IARC (2019) has recently announced that the effects of RF-EMR need to be re-evaluated with high priority

Other adverse health conditions

Thousands of scientific studies have been conducted over the decades which show biological/health effects of RF-EMR (e.g., EMF-Portal, 2019; Markov, 2018; ORSAA, 2019). ORSAA researchers have used the database capabilities to classify bio-effects from RF-EMR exposures into various "effects" categories such as cardiovascular effects and immune effects. The health effects categories that can be found in the ORSAA database are shown in the table below, which indicates the number of papers showing effects in each category. The results from the database are described in Leach, Weller, and Redmayne (2018).

Find Search Summary Totals					
Peer Reviewed Studies Showing Biological Effects			Number of records used : 2165 of 3448		
Auditory Dysfunction / Hearing loss / Tinnitus	34	Apoptosis (Programmed Cell Death)	95	Brain Tumours	48
Blood Brain Barrier Permeability Changes	15	Breast Cancer	6	Cellular Stress	59
Brain Development / Neuro Degeneration	52	Biochemical Changes	189	EEG changes / Brain Waves	108
Neuro Behavioural Effect / Cognitive Effects	186	Cell Irregularities/ Damage/ Morphological Changes	186	Effects on Mitochondria	40
Calcium Influx / Efflux	20	Fatigue	37	Altered Enzyme Activity / Protein Levels / Protein Damage	361
Circadian Rhythm Disruption	13	Altered Gene Expression	146	Headaches/Migraines	67
DNA Damage / Mutagenic / Genotoxic	149	Altered Glucose Level / Glucose Metabolism	19	Inflammation	23
Endocrine / Hormone Effects	68	Cardiovascular/Vascular Effects	62	Hepatic Effects (Liver)	20
Miscarriage / Spontaneous Abortion / Foetus Resorption	3	Immune System Effects	65	Impaired / Reduced Healing/ Bone Density Changes	6
Memory Impairment	55	Oxidative Stress / ROS/ Free Radicals	246	Speech Impairment	4
Sperm / Testicular Effects	93	Sleep Effects	61	Haematological Effects	49
Tumour Promotion	38	Neurotransmitter Effects	32	Synergistic/Combinative Effects	55
Thyroid Effects	14	Visual Disturbances/ Ocular Effects	40	Autism	8
Leukemia	3	Parotid Gland Malignancy	4	Neoplasia/ Hyperplasia (Abnormal Tissue Growth)	5
Depression	23	Induced Adaptive Response	49	Dizziness / Vertigo / Vestibular Effects	23

 May have a role in disease pathway/ well-being
 A known cause in disease
 Continue

Notable are the large numbers of papers showing potential harm as a result of direct cell damage caused by oxidative stress, a pathological phenomenon which is involved in many chronic diseases such as cancer, heart disease, diabetes and neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's disease as well as mental illnesses. Furthermore, oxidative stress provides a clear mechanism for how existing mobile technologies can cause harm to health, which lays to waste the claims that no scientific mechanism has been found.

...RF-induced genotoxicity is now irrefutable and one underlying mechanism is evidently oxidative stress. Most studies (216 out of 242) that investigated oxidative stress endpoints in light of RF exposure were positive [24]. Excerpt from a recent paper by ORSAA researcher and ORSAA advisor, namely: (Bandara & Carpenter, 2020)

The papers in the main database categories reveal the following major health effects from exposures to RF-EMR:

- neurodevelopmental disorders in children
- neurodegenerative diseases in adults such as dementia, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease
- neuropsychiatric/neurobehavioural problems including memory problems, anxiety, depression, insomnia and resulting fatigue
- lowered fertility and serious damaging effects on reproductive tissue and sperm
- immune diseases/disorders such as allergies, atopic dermatitis and autoimmune diseases
- metabolic diseases arising out of sustained disruption to basic cellular functions such as mitochondrial dysfunction.

This set of biological and psychological conditions mirrors the current epidemic of chronic illnesses that has been growing in the last couple of decades in the developed world. While there are other contributors to the environmental toxic burden, exposures to man-made EMR/EMF has increased exponentially due to the rapid expansion of wireless technology. This makes RF-EMR a likely causal factor in the marked decline in health occurring in the Western world (e.g., Blue Cross Blue Shield, 2019).

Evidence for health effects from 5G frequencies

While the existing large volume of scientific studies show clear health risks with the frequencies used in the first phase of 5G deployment, very little research has been done so far on the health effects of millimetre waves to be used for the second phase of 5G (6 to 86 GHz). The existing review papers (Oughton, Frias, Russell, Sicker, & Cleavelly, 2018; Russell, 2018) reveal the current known effects of these waves:

- 1 Despite shallow penetration (compared to lower frequencies) 5G millimetre waves pose possible harm to the largest organ of the body, the skin, with the possibility of permanent tissue damage (Neufeld & Kuster, 2018).
- 2 Effects on eyes (including cataracts), heart rate, immune system and DNA have been shown.
- 3 Millimetre waves can also affect important components of skin such as nerves, immune cells, blood vessels causing systemic effects involving internal organs. It has been found that sweat ducts of skin act as helical antennae for millimetre waves.
- 4 Extra, damaging 'Brillouin Precursors' may be induced in the human body. A Brillouin precursor is an induced radiation pulse that can be created by extremely fast data transmission rates, which can be achieved with 5G transmissions. When such a pulse enters the human body, it has the potential to generate a burst of energy that can travel much deeper than predicted by conventional models used for standard setting. Considering the planned massive rollout of 5G mmWave antennas, many close to homes and workplaces, this constitutes a possible significant public health hazard which has not yet been investigated. (Albanese, Blaschak, Medina, & Penn, 1994; Xiao & Oughstun, 1999).

Harm to birds, insects and plants

Microwave radiation is already having effects on birds, bees and pollinators (Bandara & Carpenter, 2018; Lázaro et al., 2016; Warnke, 2009), for example disruption of magnetic based orientation in migratory birds (Engels et al., 2014). Moreover, insects will maximally absorb 5G radiation due to the length of their bodies being measured in millimetres and the subsequent resonance effects (Thielens et al., 2018). Therefore, 5G radiation could have catastrophic effects on the already endangered insect populations worldwide, which has implications for agriculture and for global food supplies. Furthermore, there is some evidence indicating that microwave radiation may injure trees in line of sight of a tower (Waldmann-Selsam, Balmori-de la Puente, Breunig, & Balmori, 2016). The placement of extensive number of 5G mini-cells in suburbia is therefore likely to be extremely detrimental to life-sustaining vegetation.

More on the effects of 5G on the environment can be found at

<https://ehtrust.org/climate-change-and-5g/>

Effects on the earth's atmosphere

Together, the earth, the ionosphere and the lower atmosphere form a global electric circuit that controls the biological rhythms of humans, birds and animals. These rhythms are essential for life, affecting blood pressure, the sleep-wake cycle, reproductive, cardiac, and neurological systems. To enable 5G, tens of thousands of satellites will be placed in both the ionosphere and magnetosphere, sending signals at millions of watts. When these powerful man-made signals are imposed on the natural background EMFs they are likely to alter the electromagnetic environment significantly, and may be very damaging to all life on earth (Firstenberg, 2018). In addition, the engineering literature is clear that the high frequency waves proposed for stage 2 of 5G communications will create quantum level changes in the rotational energy of water (at 22.3GHz, 33GHz, 323 GHz) and oxygen molecules (at 60 GHz). Given these molecules are the basis of life, the effects of altering the fundamental characteristics of water and oxygen are likely to be inimical to life on earth.

Unsustainable: significant increases in energy burden promoting global warming

The Centre for Energy Efficient Communications White Paper (2015) points out that wireless systems use 15 to 23 times more energy than wired systems, and that up to 90% of this energy is used by wireless network technologies.

Wireless technologies will continue to consume at least 10 times more power than wired technologies when providing comparable access rates and traffic volumes. (Baliga, Ayre, Hinton, & Tucker, 2011)

The average iPhone uses more energy than a midsize refrigerator, says a new paper by Mark Mills, CEO of Digital Power Group, a tech investment advisory. A midsize refrigerator that qualifies for the Environmental Protection Agency's Energy Star rating uses about 322 kW-h a year, while your iPhone uses about 361 kW-h if you stack up wireless connections, data usage, and battery charging (Lobello, 2013) (Schoechele, 2018 p. 71).

While industry expects that each 5G device will use less power, it also expects that there will be millions more connections and devices. *...5G is expected to require far more base stations to deliver service and connect billions of mobile and IoT devices ... 5G could also consume up to 1,000 times as much energy* <https://spectrum.ieee.org/telecom/wireless/5gs-waveform-is-a-battery-vampire> .

Furthermore, according to China Mobile, 5G needs three times the number of base stations for the same coverage as LTE, and the power consumption of one 5G base station is three times the power consumption of 4G LTE (Jones, 2019). A recent survey by Vertiv (2019) found that 5G technology will likely increase total network energy consumption by 150 to 170 per cent by 2026. *"... 5G is going to be significantly more energy-intensive than previous generations of wireless connectivity"*. See Maisch (2019) for a full summary of this report.

The Jevons Paradox of environmental economics is relevant here. That is, although technological progress increases the efficiency with which a resource is used (if each individual mobile device did use less power under 5G), demand and consumption will increase (there will be thousands more towers and devices in every neighbourhood, using thousands of times more energy). So overall, the energy and resource consumptions cost of 5G will be far greater than existing systems. With humankind facing a global warming and global energy crisis, the move to expand energy consumption for more unnecessary technology is both reckless and irresponsible.

The deployment of 5G is not financially secure

The engineering literature on 5G raises concerns about the ability of industry to finance 5G deployments and infrastructure. As well as the increased costs of energy consumption, 5G base stations cost four times the price of LTE (Jones, 2019). It appears that the **push to encourage 5G cities and the driverless car industry is a strategy by industry to bring countries on board in order to cover the costs of 5G deployment:**

*...small cell deployments provide significant capacity but at considerable cost, and hence are likely only in the densest locations, unless MNOs can **boost revenues by capturing value from the Internet of Things (IoT), Smart Cities or other technological developments** dependent on digital connectivity. (Oughton et al., 2018 p.1.)*

This issue has been raised by the ex-CEO of Internet Australia (Patton, 2019).

Productivity: An unhealthy population would significantly impact a country's economy and social structure.

Public Awareness about the effects of 5G.

The radiation protection authorities who are pivotal in determining government and industry standards internationally rely on the advice from the International Commission for Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), a panel of 13 individuals which is mostly made up of physicists and engineers who are not qualified to make judgments on biological effects. They have ignored a large body of scientific evidence showing biological effects induced by exposures well below their guidelines while holding on to their obsolete dogma that only thermal effects (tissue heating) can occur with RF-EMR which their guidelines can prevent. Furthermore, most of the present and past ICNIRP members have strong financial ties with the industry, even though ICNIRP claims to be an independent organisation. Many government regulatory bodies use the ICNIRP guidelines with similar conflicts of interest occur within each country. The spin on EMR science and manipulation by industry at all levels as documented in Maisch (2017).

The result is that these influential but compromised regulatory bodies have dictated inadequate public exposure regulation within their own jurisdictions. The weighty positions taken by these organisations do not give credence to the vast body of evidence from other disciplines such as biochemistry, physiology and biophysics, indicating that there are serious, non-thermal biological effects of low-intensity RF-EMR. ORSAA researchers have recently written about this issue (see Weller, Leach, & May, 2019) [Excerpts from p. 1 to 5 below]

... Because these bio-effects defy traditional thinking that the energy from low-level RF non-ionizing radiation is too insignificant to cause direct cell damage, they do not appear to be taken seriously [ICNIRP, 2002]. Non-ionizing radiation may not have sufficient energy to knock electrons off from atoms, but it can affect molecular structures and interfere with metabolic processes as evidenced by the categorized biological effects noted in the ORSAA database [Leach et al., 2018].

...There are two main factors that trigger the precautionary approach: the strength or balance of evidence, and the potential cost of doing nothing.

Also see Bandara, Leach, and Weller (2018)

We reiterate our claims of inaccuracy and misrepresentation of the available scientific evidence on radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation (RF-EMR) by ARPANSA, particularly in their main report TRS-164

Unfortunately, due to long-running highly concerted systematic attempts by vested interests to obfuscate the scientific information on a global scale, the public and local authorities are not being informed of the consequences of RF-EMR exposures. Instead of putting resources behind testing for biological effects, government and industry are jointly campaigning to reassure the public that 5G is safe.

In the long-term, this coverup will be detrimental to the health and wellbeing of the world.

Please see Dr Magda Havas clear and rational explanation of what 5G means from a research scientist's perspective <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vh8DNKmdGk0&t=18s>

Summary and Recommendations

ORSAA's major concern is the evident harm to the mental and physical health of the current and next generations, as well as the likely harm that is forecast by experienced scientists in this field for ecosystems and our planetary stability.

In the balance are the needs of industry to promote its own growth and development and the push to 'innovate' versus the serious risks to humans and the planet. We recommend the council considers what is in the balance, and its responsibility to protect people and the environment.

ORSAA offers our cooperation and assistance with councils in their investigation into 5G and look forward to hearing from your council concerning any of the above issues that we have raised.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Julie McCredden

ORSAA President info@orsaa.org www.orsaa.org

References

- Albanese, R., Blaschak, J., Medina, R., & Penn, J. (1994). *Ultrashort electromagnetic signals: Biophysical questions, safety issues and medical opportunities*. Retrieved from
- Baliga, J., Ayre, R., Hinton, K., & Tucker, R. S. (2011). Energy consumption in wired and wireless access networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 49(6), 70-77.
- Bandara, P., & Carpenter, D. O. (2018). Planetary electromagnetic pollution: it is time to assess its impact. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 2(12), e512-e514.
- Bandara, P., & Carpenter, D. O. (2020). Causes of cancer: Perceptions vs. the scientific evidence. *European Journal of Cancer*, 124, 214-216.
- Bandara, P., Leach, V., & Weller, S. (2018). Health risks of wireless technologies. Authors' Response to the Letter to the Editor. *Radiation Protection in Australasia* 35(2), 22-26.
- Bandara, P., & Weller, S. (2017). Biological effects of low-intensity radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation—time for a paradigm shift in regulation of public exposure. *Radiat Protect Australas*, 34, 2-6.
- Blue Cross Blue Shield. (2019). *The Health of Millennials*. Retrieved from <https://www.bcbs.com/the-health-of-america/reports/the-health-of-millennials>
- Centre for Energy Efficient Communications. (2015). *The power of wireless cloud*. Retrieved from <https://ceet.unimelb.edu.au/publications/ceet-white-paper-wireless-cloud.pdf>
- EMF-Portal. (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.emf-portal.org/en>
- Engels, S., Schneider, N.-L., Lefeldt, N., Hein, C. M., Zapka, M., Michalik, A., . . . Mouritsen, H. (2014). Anthropogenic electromagnetic noise disrupts magnetic compass orientation in a migratory bird. *Nature*, 509(7500), 353.
- Firstenberg, A. (2018). International Appeal: Stop 5G on earth and in space. Retrieved from <https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/about>
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. (2011). IARC classifies radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans [Press release]. Retrieved from https://www.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. (2019). Advisory Group recommendations on priorities for the IARC Monographs. *The Lancet Oncology*, April. Retrieved from <https://www.thelancet.com/action/showPdf?pii=S1470-2045%2819%2930246-3>

- Jones, D. (2019). Power Consumption: 5G Basestations Are Hungry, Hungry Hippos [Press release]. Retrieved from <https://www.lightreading.com/mobile/5g/power-consumption-5g-basestations-are-hungry-hungry-hippos/d/d-id/749979>
- Lázaro, A., Chroni, A., Tscheulin, T., Devalez, J., Matsoukas, C., & Petanidou, T. (2016). Electromagnetic radiation of mobile telecommunication antennas affects the abundance and composition of wild pollinators. *Journal of insect conservation*, 20(2), 315-324.
- Leach, V., Weller, S., & Redmayne, M. (2018). A novel database of bio-effects from non-ionizing radiation. *Reviews on environmental health*, 33(3), 273-280.
- Maisch, D. (2017). Spin in the Antipodes: A history of industry involvement in telecommunications health research in Australia. In M. J. Walker & L. Hardell (Eds.), *Corporate Ties That Bind: An Examination of Corporate Manipulation and Vested Interests in Public Health*. New York: Skyhorse Publishing.
- Maisch, D. (2019). 5G: Eco-Energy or Energy Monster. Retrieved from <https://www.emfacts.com/2019/06/5g-eco-energy-or-energy-monster/>
- Markov, M. (2018). *Mobile Communications and Public Health*: CRC Press.
- National Toxicology Program. (2018). *High exposure to radio frequency radiation associated with cancer in male rats*. Retrieved from <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/high-exposure-radio-frequency-radiation-associated-cancer-male-rats>
- Neufeld, E., & Kuster, N. (2018). Systematic derivation of safety limits for time-varying 5G radiofrequency exposure based on analytical models and thermal dose. *Health physics*, 115(6), 705-711.
- ORSAA. (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.orsaa.org/orsaa-database.html>
- Oughton, E., Frias, Z., Russell, T., Sicker, D., & Cleevly, D. D. (2018). Towards 5G: Scenario-based assessment of the future supply and demand for mobile telecommunications infrastructure. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 133, 141-155.
- Patton, L. (2019). My New Year's Resolution – Keep fighting for #BetterBroadband. Retrieved from <http://theluckygeneral.biz/2019/01/06/my-new-years-resolution-keep-fighting-for-betterbroadband/>
- Russell, C. L. (2018). 5 G wireless telecommunications expansion: Public health and environmental implications. *Environmental research*, 165, 484-495.
- Schoechele, T. (2018). Re-Inventing Wires: The Future of Landlines and Networks.
- Smith-Roe, S. L., Wyde, M. E., Stout, M. D., Winters, J. W., Hobbs, C. A., Shepard, K. G., . . . Tice, R. R. (2019). Evaluation of the genotoxicity of cell phone radiofrequency radiation in male and female rats and mice following subchronic exposure. *Environmental and molecular mutagenesis*.
- Thielens, A., Bell, D., Mortimore, D. B., Greco, M. K., Martens, L., & Joseph, W. (2018). Exposure of insects to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields from 2 to 120 GHz. *Scientific reports*, 8(1), 3924. Retrieved from <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-22271-3.pdf>
- Vertiv. (2019). *5G: The Risks and Rewards for Operators*. Retrieved from <https://www.vertiv.com/en-asia/about/news-and-insights/articles/white-papers/5g-the-risks-and-rewards-for-operators/>
- Waldmann-Selsam, C., Balmori-de la Puente, A., Breunig, H., & Balmori, A. (2016). Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations. *Science of the Total Environment*, 572, 554-569.
- Warnke, U. (2009). *Bees, Birds and Mankind: Destroying Nature by "electrosmog"*: E. Oppenheimer and Sons.
- Weller, S., Leach, V., & May, M. (2020). Comment on Letter: "Post-Normal Science and the Management of Uncertainty in Bioelectromagnetic Controversies" by AW Wood. *Bioelectromagnetics*, 41(1), 80-84.
- Xiao, H., & Oughstun, K. E. (1999). Failure of the group-velocity description for ultrawideband pulse propagation in a causally dispersive, absorptive dielectric. *JOSA B*, 16(10), 1773-1785.

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 10:58 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:09 AM
To: Karen Specht [REDACTED]; briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Cc: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Anne Skipsey <askipsey@qualicumbeach.com>; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Good morning,
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.
Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Karen Specht [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 8, 2023 11:15 AM
To: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Cc: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca;
Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers
<bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard
Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht
<ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>;
Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien
<mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca;
Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Anne Skipsey <askipsey@qualicumbeach.com>
Subject: Re: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Good morning

I am very opposed to the proposed construction of yet another cell tower in District 69, so close to others I might add. It seems proposed towers need to be taller and more powerful. Didn't know that there was such a competition in this industry.

STOP STOP ALREADY!!

There is undeniable evidence and studies which confirm the harm of EMR to humans and to our plant and animal species. Proliferation of EMR emitting devices only increase the damaging effects. We are traveling down a dangerous path which will have irreversible consequences.

STOP hiding behind Safety Code 6. It is hopelessly out of date, should never have been written without proper consultation and research and needs to be removed immediately.

Yours sincerely

Karen Specht, District 69

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2023 7:59 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Thursday, February 2, 2023 8:24 PM
To: Linda Fullalove [REDACTED]; briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Cc: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Anne Skipsey <askipse@qualicumbeach.com>; councillorgaur@parksville.ca; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Good evening,
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.
Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Linda Fullalove [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 2, 2023 11:23 AM
To: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com
Cc: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca;
Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers
<bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Sheryl
Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens
<erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>;
Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood
<councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Anne Skipsey
<askipsey@qualicumbeach.com>; councillorgaur@parksville.ca
Subject: re: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Dear Brian,

I am re-sending my letter of opposition to the Telus/Rogers cell tower location as I don't consider your form reply addresses my concerns. This does not measure up to "public consultation".

Sincerely Linda Fullalove

Dear Sir/Madam,

As a resident off French Creek, I am strongly opposed to the siting of the new cell phone tower on Hodges Road. Overwhelming scientific evidence warns of the potential harm caused by Electro Magnetic Radiation to the environment and to all living plants and animals within the range of cell phone towers. For the well-being of people in this neighbourhood, and the animals at Little Qualicum Cheeseworks, this is not the place to locate a cell tower.

French Creek is part of the internationally recognized UNESCO Mount Arrowsmith biosphere with its unique geography and climate, and as such it is our duty to protect this environment. One of the criteria for being designated a UNESCO biosphere is:

"A site must contain a protected and minimally disturbed core area of value of nature conservation."

Furthermore, it was only last summer that French Creek Estuary Nature Preserve was established through local fund raising efforts in conjunction with Nature BC. The nature preserve was, in major part, established to protect the environment for eagles. Six pairs of breeding bald eagles are known to nest within the area. I have personally seen many eagles on the trees along Hodges Road. It makes no sense for citizens to work hard at protecting the eagles only to jeopardize their survival (and that of other birds) by having Telus/Rogers erect a cell tower here.

Sincerely, Linda Fullalove
[REDACTED]

Dear Linda:

TELUS and Rogers both attest that their proposed installations will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is a regulatory requirement.

TELUS and Rogers will also both comply with all applicable permitting and regulatory requirements.

Your input will be saved on file for the RDN, ISED, TELUS and Rogers.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP

SitePath Consulting Ltd.

UT 8t } 7568= B[fshtz { jwWUT Bkfw{rj | BSH% : _ %H6

Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 11:09 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: All RDN Directors: Beth Cairns hand written letter Objecting to 1477 Hodges Road cell tower

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 11:31 AM

To: Carol Dowe [REDACTED]; Tyler Brown <tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca>; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; Mark Swain, RDN Board <mark.swain@lantzville.ca>; Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>; Anne Skipsey <askipsey@qualicumbeach.com>

Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: Re: All RDN Directors: Beth Cairns hand written letter Objecting to 1477 Hodges Road cell tower

Thank you - your email is received. For some of the emails we've received the sender has incorrect emails for some Directors which will return an error message.

Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

From: Carol Dowe [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 11:27:33 AM

To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; Tyler Brown <tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca>; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; Lauren Melanson <lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca>; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leannesalter@shaw.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>;

Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; Mark Swain, RDN Board <mark.swain@lantzville.ca>; Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>; Anne Skipsey <askipsey@qualicumbeach.com>
Subject: All RDN Directors: Beth Cairns hand written letter Objecting to 1477 Hodges Road cell tower

⚠ EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Feb. 10, 2023

Good Morning all Regional District of Nanaimo Directors: [pls confirm you have received this email.Thank you]

Ms. Beth Cairns wanted us to make sure you received this **handwritten letter from Beth Cairns before the deadline of Feb. 10,2023.** [REDACTED], she asked us to forward her letter to you.

We are learning that a great number of the Directors are blocking our emails.

We are concerned you are not receiving our communication and concerns regarding the cell tower.

So for those of you still receiving our emails, will you please make sure

this information is known to the other Directors blocking us?

Thank you kindly,

[REDACTED] Fred and Carol Dowe for the Neighbours concerned about the cell tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville

[REDACTED]

Letter from Beth Cairns

Parksville, B.C.
Feb. 8/23

Brian Gregg
Site Path Consulting
+ to all RDN Directors

Dear Mr. Gregg:

Re: 5G 63m cell tower
@ 1477 Hodges Rd, Parksville

I strongly object to the above cell tower.

Radio Frequency (RF) affects all humans, animals, insects, plants, fish + amphibians. For humans it can be life threatening.

The Environmental Health Clinic, of the Women's College Hospital, ^(Toronto) has estimated that 5% of the population have mild, moderate or severe Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity (EHS) symptoms.

That's ≈ 682 for Parksville + ≈ 465 for Qualicum Beach. 3% of the 5% have severe symptoms. Damage is cumulative. There is no cure.

With each new wireless device or cell tower the risk to all goes up. Children, pregnant women + the elderly are particularly at risk.

Dr. Ruina Bray, Medical Director, of the above clinic is one of the most EHS knowledgeable people in Canada.

Please see:

Dr. Ruina Bray. Preliminary, Clinical Practice Guidelines in the Diagnosis and Management of Electromagnetic Field Hypersensitivity (EHS). October, 2020.

Respectfully yours,

Beth Cairns,

Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Brian Gregg** <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>

Date: Fri, Feb 10, 2023 at 2:12 PM

Subject: Re: AT LEAST 10 RESIDENTS NOT RECEIVING PUBLIC CONSULTATION PACKAGE, AROUND 1477 HODGES ROAD, PARKSVILLE, BC

To: Carol Dowe [REDACTED]

Cc: spectrum_victoria / victoria_spectre (IC) <ic.spectrumvictoria-victoriaspectre.ic@canada.ca>

Dear Carol:

As discussed previously with you and specifically with several of the people you contacted during this process, TELUS and Rogers received an address list of over 500 addresses directly from the RDN. All of the public consultation packages were distributed via Canada Post in early December to the address list as supplied by the RDN. The notification was completed carefully and with input from the RDN to ensure that there were no process oversights. There is no benefit for us to make process errors and so we do everything in our power to properly notify the community.

To your point, we have recently heard from a couple people during the comment period who claimed to have not received the package; however, we reviewed our files and were able to share with them in both cases specific records confirming that we did indeed send them a package and there have been no "return to sender" envelopes received as of this time. Additionally, we note that this mail-out was greatly expanded upon relative to the baseline requirements insofar as the RDN notification radius is to be measured from the location of the tower but instead the radius was calculated from the edge of the large 37 acre subject property. Thus, this notification is one of the largest distributions we have ever completed for such a project.

In reality, we have heard from effectively all of the neighbours during the comment period and thus far have received well over 200 comments suggesting a robust process and broad awareness in the community. TELUS and Rogers also posted multiple newspaper notices and hosted a digital public meeting which was attended by over 50 people. As you know, some of your friends from Ontario were even invited to the meeting.

TELUS and Rogers will discuss with RDN staff, the board and ISED. If any people need information we will continue to provide information as we always do.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no oversights with this notification process.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP
SitePath Consulting Ltd.

UT 8t } 7568= B[fshtz { jw WUT Kfn { rj | BSH% : _ 5H6

Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

Fax: 604-829-6424 | www.sitepathconsulting.com

On Fri, Feb 10, 2023 at 1:59 PM Carol Dowe [REDACTED] wrote:

Feb. 10, 2023

Brian Gregg
Sitepath Consulting

re: Residents under the 630 metre Arc **not receiving Public Consultation Package** around 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville, BC

Hello Brian,

We have at least 10 if not more residents under the 630 metre Arc around the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville that have not received the Proper Public Consultation Package.

May we ask how you are going to handle this information? We believe this is part of the requirement of the Public Consultation process with the Regional District of Nanaimo according to the package.

Kind regards,
Fred and Carol Dowe
Regional District #69



Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 11:11 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: Cell tower information from EMF consultant
Attachments: Letter to councils Feb. 2023.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 9:01 AM
To: Lisa Grant <lgrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Fwd: Cell tower information from EMF consultant

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:26:39 PM
To: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>
Subject: Cell tower information from EMF consultant

Dear Mayor Westbroek,

This is information and my opposition regarding the latest cell tower proposal, in your region.

Information on Cell Tower Radiation, by a certified EMF Radiation Consultant:

You can't see it, hear it, feel it, or smell it, but believe me - its everywhere

Ladies and Gentlemen,

As panel members you are put into the difficult position to deal with the subject of cell towers and man made radio frequency radiation, which is completely foreign to almost everyone, which even includes physicians, as neither you nor medical professionals received any training in this highly specialized field. Unfortunately this lack of knowledge can lead to poor decision making, which in the long run is not conducive to a healthy environment.

Its likely, that you assume that Health Canada will keep us safe, as its their fiduciary duty to protect the citizens of Canada. Unfortunately this arm of the government has dropped the ball, many, many times before, by siding with highly influential industries in the past, with devastating results.

Lead, DDT, formaldehyde, PCB's, smoking, mercury dental fillings, Thalidomide, and a host of other highly bio-toxic substances caused endless sufferings and premature death.

This scenario has unfortunately not changed, were the industry lobby has unlimited access to politicians in Ottawa. Government officials and telecom regulators have met twice daily in a time span of 2 years in the recent past, which illustrates the unhealthy closeness of government regulators and those they are supposedly regulating.

Today's EMF radiation scientists consider Health Canada a captured agency, which also includes Innovation Science and Development (ISED), due to the billions of dollars they receive from the wireless industry in frequency air wave auctions.

O bold claim to make? As a certified EMF Radiation Consultant, I was witness to the absurd closeness of a telecom lobbyist during a Zoom meeting with ISED directors, Brian Rees and Michael Fu.

Mr. Fu decided blindly to believe this Telus lobbyist, over written statements of 10 home owners.

“ . . . because he works for many telecom companies, . . . ! - Michael Fu, Regional Director for Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

Understanding how cell tower radiation works

Every cell towers emits bio active, microwave radiation, but industry lobbyist shun this word and prefer to call it, “energy”. Why?

They also refer to an umbrella effect, implying there is no, or very little microwave radiation near the cell tower, which is a misleading statement, as I have measured many cell towers in British Columbia with precision lab tested instruments, revealing extreme radiation levels.

Has the telecom lobbyist informed you that other cell towers send microwave radiation signals, non stop, to the proposed cell tower, which cause elevated radiation fields around the cell tower?

Has he informed you of the consequences of 24/7/365 elevated radiation fields, to homes surrounding the cell tower, since point to point transmission is not precise sniper technology, as one would assume, but buckshot

technology, which causes additional radiation exposure to the area and homes from other cell towers, transmitting their data back and fourth via microwaves.

Did he inform you that the radiation of cell towers can reach many miles, depending on the frequency?

Were you informed that microwave hot spots occur where cell tower radiation beams overlap from other towers, causing hotspots? Very much like flashlight beams.

Are you aware that the telecom lobbyist uses outdated science in his handouts, dating back to the 1990ties?

Why has he failed to inform you of the latest research, such as the recent, 10 year, peer reviewed study on cell tower radiation by the world renown Ramazzini Institute?

Why has he failed to inform you of the twice peer reviewed, US government funded, National Toxicology Program Study (NTP)?

Hundreds of peer reviewed studies like this, showing adverse health affects were published in the last few years, but the telecom industry's representatives feel that it's their right to withhold this important information from you and the public. Is this ethical?

Due to the lack of transparency by governments and industry, the general public is completely unaware of the health impacts, cell tower radiation has on their life, their family and the environment.

They are not aware, that EMF scientists, and thousands of informed physicians around the world issued warnings after warnings to governments, as well as the World Health Organization. Since main stream media is largely owned or funded through advertising by industry giants, such as Bell, hardly any information is passed on the public.

Has your doctor told you that cell tower radiation is safe?

If he or she has, please ask, what peer reviewed papers, books or science they have read on this subject, as physicians are not educated in this field in medical school.

This was recently exemplified by the 4 day, *International EMF Medical Conference 2021*, which was attended by over 800 participants.

Their credit course, was designed for medical professionals, regarding radio frequency radiation, and its long term health impacts.

The group of presenters included professors of science and medicine, from McGill, Harvard, Columbia, University of California, and other prestigious institutions around the world.

Is cell tower radiation is safer than WiFi? No, it is not!

Wifi is voluntary, and informed people in this field try to avoid it, if at all possible.

Cell tower radiation is involuntary, and penetrates every wall in the home with ease. This type of unnatural, pulsed radiation, has become omnipresent with no escape.

Would you be happy if your home and the town you live in was permeated by toxic smog, belching from a factory chimney? Not very likely!

On the other hand cell tower radiation, a.k.a, e-smog pollution, is invisible like a virus, which makes it an easy sell for telecom lobbyists.

You can't see it, hear it, feel it, or smell it, but believe me, its everywhere!

Does cell tower radiation penetrate humans, animals, insects and plants? Yes!

Cell tower radiation is measurable in the human body with specialized high frequency meters, even when the tower is located a long distance away. The human body acts as an exquisite antenna, and attracts cell tower radiation.

The body coupling-measurement method has been used to better investigate the patient's burden to EMF radiation by health practitioners and environmental medicine practitioners in Austria, Switzerland and Germany for over 20 years.

What does Health Canada's own website state about the notoriously outdated, Safety Code 6 (2015)?

Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Energy in the Frequency Range from 3kHz to 300 GHz

2G, 3G, 4G and 5G Reference Period: **6 Minute Exposure only!**

It's imperative for decision makers to become familiar with the adverse health effects produced by chronic cell tower radiation, to make a wise decision based on TODAY'S science.

Informed doctors have pointed out, that all too frequently, physicians misdiagnose patients due to their lack of expertise in this field, leading to unnecessary prescriptions.

Physicians for Safe Technology (at the end), provides a huge source of information on the health effects caused by chronic cell tower exposure.

Through my work as EMF Consultant, I meet people who have become ill, or highly sensitive to man made radiation, from all walks of life, and are often highly educated professionals.

Feel free to contact me for more information, as this is only represents a small fraction of information. Please take the time to view the links, provided below.

Kind regards,

Marcus Schluschen

Cert, EMF Radiation Consultant

Scientific Evidence of Biological Effects

OCEANIA RADIOFREQUENCYSCIENTIFIC ADVISORY ASSOCIATION (ORSAA)

<https://www.orsaa.org/>

ORSAA is a not-for-profit organisation composed of scientists and professionals from various academic disciplines who are investigating the scientific research that relates to the effects of artificial electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on humans, animals and the environment.

Phire Medical – Physicians' Health Initiative For Radiation & Environment

<https://phiremedical.org/>

Please read the 2020 Consensus Statement, which is supported by 15 science and medical groups.

2020-Non-Ionising-Radiation-Consensus-Statement.pdf (phiremedical.org)

<https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/2020-Non-Ionising-Radiation-Consensus-Statement.pdf>

Short 2 page medical read, but even more relevant today than in 2012.

International Doctors Appeal 2012 _ 2012_Nov_21 (straaleskader.dk)

<http://straaleskader.dk/links/international-doctors-appeal-2012-nov.pdf>

Radio-frequency Radiation Poses a Health Risk. Physicians Demand Overdue Precaution.

More than 1000 physicians signed the “Freiburg Appeal” in 2002. It was translated into many languages. As many as 36,000 people from all over the world support its warning about the dangers of radio-frequency

radiation. Today—ten years later—we as physicians and scientists call again on our colleagues and the wider global community, but also on all politicians around the world.

A highly comprehensive paper on health effects, which arise from chronic EMF exposure, including cell tower radiation.

EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2015 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses

<https://www.emfanalysis.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/EUROPAEM-EMF-Guidelines-2015-for-Prevention-Diagnosis-and-Treatment.pdf>

A must read!

Physicians for Safe Technology | Cell Tower Radiation Health Effects (mdsafetech.org)

<https://mdsafetech.org/cell-tower-health-effects/>

Information on Cell Tower Radiation, by a Certified EMF Radiation Consultant

You can't see it, hear it, feel it, or smell it, but believe me - its everywhere

Ladies and Gentlemen,

As panel members you are put into the difficult position to deal with the subject of man made radio frequency radiation, which is completely foreign to almost everyone, which even includes physicians, as neither you nor medical professionals received any training in this highly specialized field. Unfortunately this lack of knowledge can lead to poor decision making, which in the long run is not conducive to a healthy environment.

Its likely, that you assume that Health Canada will keep us safe, as its their fiduciary duty to protect the citizens of Canada. Unfortunately this arm of the government has dropped the ball, many, many times before, by siding with highly influential industries in the past, with devastating results. Lead, DDT, formaldehyde, PCB's, smoking, mercury dental fillings, Thalidomide, and a host of other highly bio-toxic substances caused endless sufferings and premature death.

This scenario has unfortunately not changed, were the industry lobby has unlimited access to politicians in Ottawa. Government officials and telecom regulators have met twice daily in a time span of 2 years in the recent past, which illustrates the unhealthy closeness of government regulators and those they are supposedly regulating.

Today's EMF radiation scientists consider Health Canada a captured agency, which also includes Innovation Science and Development (ISED), due to the billions of dollars they receive from the wireless industry in frequency air wave auctions.

O bold claim to make? As a certified EMF Radiation Consultant, I was witness to the absurd closeness of a telecom lobbyist during a Zoom meeting with ISED directors, Brian Rees and Michael Fu. Mr. Fu decided blindly to believe this Telus lobbyist, over written statements of 10 home owners.

“ . . . because he works for many telecom companies, . . . ! - Michael Fu, Regional Director for Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

Understanding how cell tower radiation works

Every cell towers emits bio active, microwave radiation, but industry lobbyist shun this word and prefer to call it, “energy”. Why?

They also refer to an umbrella effect, implying there is no, or very little microwave radiation near the cell tower, which is a misleading statement, as I have measured many cell towers in British Columbia with precision lab tested instruments, revealing extreme radiation levels.

Has the telecom lobbyist informed you that other cell towers send microwave radiation signals, non stop, to the proposed cell tower, which cause elevated radiation fields around the cell tower?

Has he informed you of the consequences of 24/7/365 elevated radiation fields, to homes surrounding the cell tower, since point to point transmission is not precise sniper technology, as one would assume, but buckshot technology, which causes additional radiation exposure to the area and homes from other cell towers, transmitting their data back and fourth via microwaves.

Did he inform you that the radiation of cell towers can reach many miles, depending on the frequency?

Were you informed that microwave hot spots occur where cell tower radiation beams overlap from other towers, causing hotspots? Very much like flashlight beams.

Are you aware that the telecom lobbyist uses outdated science in his handouts, dating back to the 1990ties?

Why has he failed to inform you of the latest research, such as the recent, 10 year, peer reviewed study on cell tower radiation by the world renown Ramazzini Institute?

Why has he failed to inform you of the twice peer reviewed, US government funded, National Toxicology Program Study (NTP)?

Hundreds of peer reviewed studies like this, showing adverse health affects were published in the last few years, but the telecom industry's representatives feel that it's their right to withhold this important information from you and the public. Is this ethical?

Due to the lack of transparency by governments and industry, the general public is completely unaware of the health impacts, cell tower radiation has on their life, their family and the environment. They are not aware, that EMF scientists, and thousands of informed physicians around the world issued warnings after warnings to governments, as well as the World Health Organization. Since main stream media is largely owned or funded through advertising by industry giants, such as Bell, hardly any information is passed on the public.

Has your doctor told you that cell tower radiation is safe?

If he or she has, please ask, what peer reviewed papers, books or science they have read on this subject, as physicians are not educated in this field in medical school.

This was recently exemplified by the 4 day, *International EMF Medical Conference 2021*, which was attended by over 800 participants.

This credit course, was designed for medical professionals, regarding radio frequency radiation, and its long term health impacts.

The group of presenters included professors of science and medicine, from McGill, Harvard, Columbia, University of California, and other prestigious institutions around the world.

Is cell tower radiation safer than WiFi? No, it is not!

Wifi is voluntary, and informed people in this field try to avoid it, if at all possible. Cell tower radiation is involuntary, and penetrates every wall in the home with ease. This type of unnatural, pulsed radiation, has become omnipresent with no escape.

Would you be happy if your home and the town you live in was permeated by toxic smog, belching from a factory chimney? Not very likely!

On the other hand cell tower radiation, a.k.a, e-smog pollution, is invisible like a virus, which makes it an easy sell for telecom lobbyists.

You can't see it, hear it, feel it, or smell it, but believe me, its everywhere!

Does cell tower radiation penetrate humans, animals, insects and plants? Yes!

Cell tower radiation is measurable in the human body with specialized high frequency meters, even when the tower is located a long distance away. The human body acts as an exquisite antenna, and attracts cell tower radiation.

The body coupling-measurement method has been used to better investigate the patient's burden to EMF radiation by health practitioners and environmental medicine practitioners in Austria, Switzerland and Germany for over 20 years.

What does Health Canada's own website state about the notoriously outdated, Safety Code 6 (2015)?

Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Energy in the Frequency Range from 3kHz to 300 GHz

2G, 3G, 4G and 5G Reference Period: 6 Minute Exposure only!

It's imperative for decision makers, like you, to become familiar with the adverse health effects produced by chronic cell tower radiation, to make a decision based on TODAY'S science. Informed doctors have pointed out, that all too frequently, physicians misdiagnose patients due to their lack of expertise in this field, leading to unnecessary prescriptions.

Physicians for Safe Technology (at the end), provides a huge source of information on the health effects caused by chronic cell tower exposure.

Through my work as EMF Consultant, I meet people who have become ill, or highly sensitive to man made radiation, from all walks of life, and are often highly educated professionals. Feel free to contact me for more information, as this is only represents a small fraction of information. Please take the time to view the links, provided below.

Kind regards,
Marcus Schluschen
Cert, EMF Radiation Consultant

Scientific Evidence of Biological Effects

OCEANIA RADIOFREQUENCYSCIENTIFIC ADVISORY ASSOCIATION (ORSAA)

<https://www.orsaa.org/>

ORSAA is a not-for-profit organisation composed of scientists and professionals from various academic disciplines who are investigating the scientific research that relates to the effects of artificial electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on humans, animals and the environment.

Phire Medical – Physicians' Health Initiative For Radiation & Environment

<https://phiremedical.org/>

Please read the 2020 Consensus Statement, which is supported by 15 science and medical groups.

2020-Non-Ionising-Radiation-Consensus-Statement.pdf (phiremedical.org)

<https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/2020-Non-Ionising-Radiation-Consensus-Statement.pdf>

Short 2 page medical read, but even more relevant today than in 2012.

International Doctors Appeal 2012 _ 2012_Nov_21 (straaleskader.dk)

<http://straaleskader.dk/links/international-doctors-appeal-2012-nov.pdf>

Radio-frequency Radiation Poses a Health Risk. Physicians Demand Overdue Precaution.

More than 1000 physicians signed the “Freiburg Appeal” in 2002. It was translated into many languages. As many as 36,000 people from all over the world support its warning about the dangers of radio-frequency radiation. Today—ten years later—we as physicians and scientists call again on our colleagues and the wider global community, but also on all politicians around the world.

A highly comprehensive paper on health effects, which arise from chronic EMF exposure, including cell tower radiation.

EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2015 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses

<https://www.emfanalysis.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/EUROPAEM-EMF-Guidelines-2015-for-Prevention-Diagnosis-and-Treatment.pdf>

A must read!

Physicians for Safe Technology | Cell Tower Radiation Health Effects (mdsafetech.org)

<https://mdsafetech.org/cell-tower-health-effects/>

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 11:44 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: Cell Tower/Hodges Road

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 10:59 AM
To: Norma O [REDACTED]
Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Cell Tower/Hodges Road

Good morning,
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.
Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Norma O [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, February 5, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Cell Tower/Hodges Road

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** **Verify links before clicking.**

Hi Vanessa

I would like to add my name to those opposed to the cell tower proposed to go up on Hodges Road/
Parksville/Qualicum. The health concerns for our health, [REDACTED] health and animals, is well documented.

Thank you

Norma Outram

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Friday, February 3, 2023 11:29 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: Comment Sheet re PROPOSED Cell Tower Hodges Road, Parksville

Lisa Grant
General Manager, Planning and Development Regional District of Nanaimo
6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2
T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca RDN | Get Involved RDN | Facebook | Twitter
| Instagram

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

-----Original Message-----

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, February 1, 2023 1:31 PM
To: Val Lea [REDACTED]; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; lauren.melanson@rdn.ca; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com
Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: Comment Sheet re PROPOSED Cell Tower Hodges Road, Parksville

Good afternoon. Thank you for your follow-up email outlining your concerns.
Vanessa Craig

-----Original Message-----

From: Val Lea [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2023 10:30 AM
To: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; lauren.melanson@rdn.ca; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: Comment Sheet re PROPOSED Cell Tower Hodges Road, Parksville

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

 EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

I really appreciate the responses I have received from the Board - thank you! And the attachment is very helpful.

About your comment that "public consultation is being carried out":

- A one page comment sheet was received by some of the nearby residents and a short webex meeting was held.
- Many people were not able to join that meeting and for those that did, many did not get a chance to speak.
- It is my understanding that a public in-person meeting will NOT be held.
- If Telus considers this pathetic attempt "public consultation", then their definition and ours are very different!

Once again, thank you for your responses.

Val and Greg Lea

On Monday, January 30, 2023 at 08:04:08 p.m. PST, Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca> wrote:

Good evening,
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here:

https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.rdn.bc.ca%2Fdms%2Fdocuments%2Frdn-board-policies%2Fplanning%2Fb1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf&data=05%7C01%7CKMarks%40rdn.bc.ca%7C432292e782f746c40db008db061ce4d4%7Cddeb3d5e4c3646898b82eea50ada964e%7C0%7C0%7C638110493378281644%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoImMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikc1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=LLJUPnELiRTHZEe4ESQ5y5%2ByzyLv%2BLtIqOT1GRZ%2BrKk%3D&reserved=0

I hope this is helpful.
Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

-----Original Message-----

From: Val Lea [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, January 28, 2023 3:34 PM

To: Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; lauren.melanson@rdn.ca; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>; Sheryl Armstrong <sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca>; Ben Geselbracht <ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca>; Erin Hemmens <erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca>; Paul Manly <paul.manly@nanaimo.ca>; Janice Perrino <janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca>; Ian Thorpe <ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca>; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Sean Wood <councillorwood@parksville.ca>; mark.swain@lantzville.ca; twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com

Subject: Fw: Comment Sheet re PROPOSED Cell Tower Hodges Road, Parksville

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

 EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Good afternoon

It appears from my email to Brian Gregg that none of our concerns are valid to Telus/Rogers - please see below.

So I'm forwarding this to you and hopefully our concerns will be considered by you as a voting member.

Thank you for your consideration.

Greg and Val Lea

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>

To: Val Lea [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 at 02:01:15 p.m. PST

Subject: Re: Comment Sheet

Thanks Val and Greg. We understand your input and will save it on file for the decision makers.

The subject of property values is outside the scope of our authority to debate via this process as is Health Canada's Safety Code 6.

Please refer to the ISED CPC Section 4.2 which notes:

<https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fised-isde.canada.ca%2Fsite%2Fspectrum-management-telecommunications%2Fen%2Flearn-more%2Fkey-documents%2Fprocedures%2Fclient-procedures-circulars-cpc%2Fcpcc-2-0-03-radiocommunication-and-broadcasting-antenna-systems&data=05%7C01%7CKMarks%40rdn.bc.ca%7C432292e782f746c40db008db061ce4d4%7Cddeb3d5e4c3646898b82eea50ada964e%7C0%7C0%7C638110493378281644%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=bNXm4c%2FhCfOP%2FjpvCrLL7Ep0Z8Uh52R%2BT3IeACzxEI%3D&reserved=0>

Concerns that are not relevant include:

- * disputes with members of the public relating to the proponent's service, but unrelated to antenna installations
- * potential effects that a proposed antenna system will have on property values or municipal taxes
- * questions whether the Radiocommunication Act, this document, Safety Code 6, locally established by-laws, other legislation, procedures or processes are valid or should be reformed in some manner

Notwithstanding the foregoing, we will save your input on file for the RDN, ISED, TELUS and Rogers.

Kind Regards,

Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP

SitePath Consulting Ltd.

PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

Fax: 604-829-6424

| <https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.sitepathconsulting.com%2F&data=05%7C01%7CKMarks%40rdn.bc.ca%7C432292e782f746c40db008db061ce4d4%7Cddeb3d5e4c3646898b82eea50ada964e%7C0%7C0%7C638110493378281644%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=7jh3iJJsHTsrdiFY%2Br7DhbIUQ%2FyhpSjDEC60ADYNKgU%3D&reserved=0>

On Wed, Jan 25, 2023 at 9:38 AM Val Lea [REDACTED] wrote:

- >
- >
- > Hi Brian
- >
- > Greg and I want to follow up on this to say how strongly we oppose this tower. We don't want to just be a "no" vote ticked on some form. We want Telus and Rogers to know our concerns.
- >
- > The Safety Code 6 references are as old as the 1980s and the newest is
- > 2015. The first 5G tower wasn't built until 2019 so we have valid and
- > serious concerns
- >
- > In addition, property values can decrease up to 20% for areas near cell towers.
- >
- > For the person renting their land, it is proposed to be as far away from their house as possible and still remain on their land. The reasons they don't want it near their house are the same reasons we don't want it near ours. The difference is they'll be receiving the benefit of rent, and we'll be receiving all the disadvantages.
- >
- > Please respond and advise how/if these concerns are being passed onto Telus/Rogers..
- >

> Val and Greg Lea

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> On Tuesday, January 10, 2023 at 10:31:57 p.m. PST, Brian Gregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>
>

> Dear Val:

>

> TELUS and Rogers attest that their proposed joint installation will comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6. This is a regulatory requirement and taken very seriously.

>

> Kind Regards,

>

> Brian Gregg, RPP, MCIP

> SitePath Consulting Ltd.

> PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

> Cell: 778-870-1388 | Email: briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com

> Fax: 604-829-6424 |

> <https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.s>

> [itepathconsulting.com%2F&data=05%7C01%7CKMarks%40rdn.bc.ca%7C432292e78](http://sitepathconsulting.com%2F&data=05%7C01%7CKMarks%40rdn.bc.ca%7C432292e78)

> 2f746c40db008db061ce4d4%7Cddeb3d5e4c3646898b82eea50ada964e%7C0%7C0%7C6

> 38110493378281644%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoi

> V2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C3000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=7jh3iJJsH

> TsrdfiFY%2Br7DhblUQ%2FyhpSjDEC60ADYNKgU%3D&reserved=0

>
>
>
>
>

> On Tue, Jan 10, 2023 at 9:24 AM Val Lea [REDACTED] wrote:

>>

>>

>> Hi Brian - this is the form I snail-mailed to you upon receipt of the cell data tower information package received, in case you haven't received it.

>>

>> Thanks, Val

>>

>>

>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 11:47 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 10:51 AM

To: dbruyckere@shaw.ca; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>

Cc: 'Carol Dowe' [REDACTED]; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; lauren.melanson@rdn.ca; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Hilary.eastmure@nanaimo.ca; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Anne Skipsey <askipsey@qualicumbeach.com>; councillorgaur@parksville.ca; cbruyckere@shaw.ca; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>; Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

Good morning,

Thank you for your email. As I indicated in my initial response, the issue of the cell tower is not yet at the RDN Board; however, the input from residents about the cell tower is being collected and will be received if/when the application does come forward for consideration.

Vanessa

--

Vanessa Craig

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Sunday, February 5, 2023 6:46 PM

To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>

Cc: 'Carol Dowe' [REDACTED]; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com; Jessica Stanley <jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca>; lauren.melanson@rdn.ca; Stuart McLean <stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca>; Leanne Salter <leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca>; Hilary.eastmure@nanaimo.ca; Doug O'Brien <mayor@parksville.ca>; Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com; Anne Skipsey <ASkipsey@qualicumbeach.com>; councillorgaur@parksville.ca; cbruyckere@shaw.ca; Bob Rogers <bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: FW: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Hello Vanessa & Lehann,

First, let me say thank you to you Vanessa for getting back to me in such a timely manner! As I said in my original email, I have a few concerns with this process & I will go into each below.

1. The Letter to Resident/Landowners

- a. When I send the original email, I had not received the letter, but it arrived early in the 2023. I didn't review the letter, as I'd already been advised about the Webex Meeting on Jan 12th (5:00-6:00pm). I marked this date on my calendar & waited for that day. The morning of the meeting I looked at the letter to obtain the Sign-In Information for the Webex. To my surprise, the Sign-In was not included in the letter, which is very strange, as this would be the 1st place the 500 Residents that received a letter would look. I then had my wife send an email to Brian Gregg asking for the Sign-in info, but it was after the deadline to request Sign-in info. Note, Brian never did respond to the email.

2. The Webex Meeting

- a. I attended a [REDACTED] home to view the Webex with them. They live on [REDACTED] in French Creek, but didn't receive a letter, as they were just outside the catchment area.
- b. Accessing the Webex was extremely difficult.
 - i. I tried to sign in on my Laptop, I was unsuccessful.
 - ii. [REDACTED].
 - iii. I tried on my Cell-phone & was able to get through. The strange part of this is that I used the Rogers Cellular Network, which is not supposed to work in the [REDACTED] area.
 - iv. My [REDACTED] tried to sign in on her Cell Phone, & she was able to get through, but could not get Audio, which made it useless.
- c. My concern with the Webex is that if myself & [REDACTED] had this much signing into the meeting, how would other people that don't have multi platforms get signed in.
- d. I'm very good with Computers, & using Webex / Zoom etc. I had a lot of trouble, how would people with very limited computer knowledge access this meeting.
- e. I'm aware of several residents that were not able to get onto the meeting.

3. Lack of a Public / In-person Meeting

- a. Something that affects this many people should have a Public / In-person Meeting.
- b. This would give both sides of this issue to discuss it.

4. Alternate Locations

- a. I brought up a couple possible locations on the Webex that will provide very good sight lines, & affect a lot fewer Homes.
- b. Church Road Industrial Area
 - i. Along Church Rd. there are a couple good spots, including the Co-op, Fenceline & DBL. All of these properties have large industrial lots & are at least 150' higher than the proposed Cell Tower location. This additional height of these lots will assist in providing better Cell Coverage for more areas.
 - ii. School District 69 Bus Garage, 1365 Springhill Rd. There is already a small tower on top of the Maintenance Building & this lot provides the additional high like the other spots noted.
 - iii. There are numerous other locations along Springhill Rd & the other industrial lots in that area that would provide excellent cell coverage, without affecting the number of residents which the proposed site affects.

These are just a few of the concerns that I have regarding the Proposed Cell Tower. I have not discussed any medical information, as [REDACTED].

I feel that this process has not been transparent & many people who have concerns have not yet been heard. An example of this is the use of Webex, which truly was very difficult to access, even for a person with very good computer skills. I believe that the only way to ensure that the affected people have their concerns heard is to have an In-person, Public Meeting. This will give both sides that chance to speak.

Thanks for listening & I look forward to any thoughts, or ideas that you may have. I would ask that you forward this email to any Director & Decision Maker with the RDN that I have missed.

Sincerely, Dean

Dean Bruyckere
[REDACTED]

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Sent: December 28, 2022 2:23 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED] Lehann Wallace <lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: RE: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

Good afternoon Dean,

Thank you for your email and the useful details about where you saw the information about the proposed cell tower, which allowed me to find the notice (attached). I have cc'd Lehann Wallace, the Director of Area G, who is your representative on the RDN Board.

This particular project has not yet reached the RDN – this public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It looks like they've hired a contractor to conduct this initial public consultation. The RDN requires that the proponent (Telus and Rogers in this case) include all public correspondence and their replies in their package to the RDN. This public meeting is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers early in the process whether they're in support or have concerns about the proposed location. Once Telus/Rogers have finalized a site/project location and have done more studies, they will come to the RDN with a request for the RDN to issue a letter of concurrence. Part of the RDN process of considering this will include public consultation as well. Ultimately it is up to the federal government whether or not a cell tower is sited in a particular location. If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires, the policy is here:

https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

There is an email contact in the ad for Brian Gregg briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com if you'd like to specifically inquire about the Webex platform or about the project, or would like to provide written submissions about the project.

I hope this is helpful.

Vanessa

--

Vanessa Craig

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: December 28, 2022 11:52 AM
To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: French Creek Proposed Telus & Rogers Telecommunications Facility 63.0 meter Self-Supporting Tower Structure

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Good Morning Vanessa;

I was not sure who to send this to, but I've been advised that you are the Chair of the RDN, so I thought that you would be able to forward this to whomever is overseeing this Proposed Cell Tower.

First, I'd like to thank a [REDACTED] of ours, who knows that we don't receive home delivery of the PQ News, for bringing us a copy of the Dec 21st PQ News Paper. We're not sure why we no longer receive the paper, but that issue is for someone else. Today was the 1st time that my wife & I saw anything about this Proposal, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

It's been brought to my attention by [REDACTED] that live along [REDACTED] that the News Paper Carrier who delivers papers in that area did not deliver the Paper on Dec 21st due to [REDACTED]. What this means is that almost no one knows about this Notice & have no idea about the meeting.

I'd like to find out when there will be a Public (In-Person) meeting to discuss this, as online meetings do not allow people the proper opportunity to ask questions or raise concerns! Also, there are a lot of people who have no idea what a digital public meeting via Webex is or how to even set-up a Webex meeting!

Also, is it not customary to send written notice to each person that could be affected by this project. I've spoken to many people who live around the Proposed Cell Tower Site & non of them have received written notice. These people living in the [REDACTED] area may have their property values permanently hindered by this Cell Tower, they should know about this Proposal & have a chance to speak publicly any concerns that they may have.

I'm one of the [REDACTED] to this Proposed Cell Tower Site & I was not notified in any way. I'm wondering if the Town of Qualicum has been advised, as they have been asking us to remove or trim treetops on our property so that planes can safely take off & land. This tower is very close to the flight path that the Air Ambulance takes when coming from Vancouver to Qualicum.

It appears to me that this project is something that is being forced through without the usual oversight, in an effort to get it done before anyone figures out what is going on.

Thanks for looking into this for me & forwarding my email to the proper person!

Sincerely,

Dean Bruyckere

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Parksville
[REDACTED]

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 11:12 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 8:41 AM
To: Lisa Grant <lgrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Fwd: I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Good morning Lisa

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Beata Rakowski [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:52:58 PM
To: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>
Subject: I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

Good afternoon RDN Director,

We know you are very busy, but we ask that you take a few minutes to read these 5 Major points about 5G. We look forward to your response. and confirming receipt. Thank you.

re: 206 foot, 63 metre cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., behind Little Qualicum Cheese Works, and Agricultural area [affecting Bees, Bats, Owls, White Raven Birds and Livestock], and [REDACTED]

We are very concerned and oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

5G – 5 FACTS

1. EMF[electromagnetic radiation] can cause cancer and disrupt the nervous system: Afib, pacemakers,
2. Children especially[brain and skull] are more vulnerable to EMF, as are pregnant Pregnant Moms and babies, elderly, infirmed & Hypersensitive
3. Bees, birds, owls, bats, white ravens, animals, plants can also be affected by RF induced biological damage
4. WHO[WorldHealthOrganization] classifies EMF as a possible carcinogen [cancer causing] to humans
5. No “informed consent” to radiation with EMF has been given to the public

This leaflet by: ehtrust.org, emfcall.org
mdsafetech.org and Canadians for
Safe Technology: www.C4ST.org

HOME | BabySafe Project <https://www.babysafeproject.org/> Important information for parents of small children.
Please view the long list of doctors and scientists who signed their joint statement.

<https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/5G-5-facts.pdf> Small, printable medical information brochure.

This is the medical site, it originates from:

Phire Medical – Physicians' Health Initiative For Radiation & Environment
<https://phiremedical.org/>

Supported by large numbers of doctors, professors of medicine, scientists and experts in this field.
Great site to check out and peruse carefully, as there are many links.

**I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre,
207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at
1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville**

**Regards
Beata Rakowski
Regional District #69.**

Sent from my iPhone

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 11:10 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 11:21 AM
To: Beata Rakowski [REDACTED]
Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

From: Beata Rakowski [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:20:40 PM

To: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>

Subject: I oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

Good afternoon RDN Directors,

We know you are very busy, but we ask that you take a few minutes to read these 5 Major points about 5G. We look forward to your response. and confirming receipt. Thank you.

re: 206 foot, 63 metre cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., behind Little Qualicum Cheese Works, and Agricultural area [affecting Bees, Bats, Owls, White Raven Birds and Livestock], and [REDACTED]

We are very concerned and oppose the PROPOSED 63 metre, 207 foot Telus/Rogers cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville

5G – 5 FACTS

1. EMF[electromagnetic radiation] can cause cancer and disrupt the nervous system: Afib, pacemakers,

2. Children especially[brain and skull] are more vulnerable to EMF, as are pregnant Pregnant Moms and babies, elderly, infirmed & Hypersensitive

3. Bees, birds, owls, bats, white ravens, animals, plants can also be affected by RF induced biological damage

4. WHO[WorldHealthOrganization] classifies EMF as a possible carcinogen [cancer causing] to humans

5. No “informed consent” to radiation with EMF has been given to the public

This leaflet by: ehtrust.org, emfcall.org mdsafetech.org and Canadians for Safe Technology: www.C4ST.org

HOME | BabySafe Project <https://www.babysafeproject.org/> Important information for parents of small children. Please view the long list of doctors and scientists who signed their joint statement.

<https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/5G-5-facts.pdf> Small, printable medical information brochure.

This is the medical site, it originates from:

Phire Medical – Physicians' Health Initiative For Radiation & Environment

<https://phiremedical.org/>

Supported by large numbers of doctors, professors of medicine, scientists and experts in this field.

Great site to check out and peruse carefully, as there are many links.

Regards

Beats Rakowski

Regional District #69.

Sent from my iPhone

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 11:44 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: In support of proposed 5G cell tower on Hodges Road, Parksville

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 11:02 AM
To: Tyler M [REDACTED]
Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: RE: In support of proposed 5G cell tower on Hodges Road, Parksville

Good morning,
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.
Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

From: Tyler M [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, February 4, 2023 10:37 AM
Subject: In support of proposed 5G cell tower on Hodges Road, Parksville

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hi,

I'm a resident on Lowrys' Road in Parksville which is an area to be serviced by the proposed communications \ cell tower. (Telus file: BC106996 - Morningstar, Rogers File W3030 - French Creek).

I am **strongly** in favour of the tower being built at the proposed location and urge you to vote YES in the upcoming vote to approve it's installation.

The lack of consistent and reliable basic cell phone coverage in this area is a major issue. Not only is it highly inconvenient but it is also dangerous as calling emergency services \ 911 is often not possible.

The "health concerns" brought up by the vocal *minority* of people opposed to this tower are unfounded and there is no hard scientific evidence to support their claims.

Thank you for your consideration,
Tyler Mielnichuk

[REDACTED]
Parksville, BC
[REDACTED]

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2023 8:14 AM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: Major Concerns RE: PROPOSED 63m (206.69 feet) TALL 5G CELL TOWER

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Vanessa Craig <Vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Saturday, February 11, 2023 11:55 AM
To: N CABRAL [REDACTED]; Lehann Wallace <Lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; briangregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
Cc: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Re: Major Concerns RE: PROPOSED 63m (206.69 feet) TALL 5G CELL TOWER

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

Information on this potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board for consideration but communications are being compiled.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,
Vanessa Craig

From: N CABRAL [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 10, 2023 7:20:19 PM
To: Vanessa Craig <Vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca>; Lehann Wallace <Lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca>; briangregg <briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com>
Subject: Major Concerns RE: PROPOSED 63m (206.69 feet) TALL 5G CELL TOWER

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi Vanessa, Lehann and Brian,

I know today is the deadline, so I just wanted to be sure to express our concerns before this project risks going through the approval process:

***The height of the proposed cell tower is the **equivalent of a 20 storey high building**. The photographic simulation provided does not appear to accurately represent the true height perspective from ground level. Please consider this concern, as the image that has been provided looks like the cell tower just nestles along the treeline and protrudes a slight amount. I am concerned that it looks nothing like what a 20 storey high building would truly look like on the horizon of this small, scenic community.

***The address listed for the TELUS and Rogers cell tower is 1477 Hodges Road. The proposed cell tower site is at the very edge of that acreage, and therefore aligns much more closely to the E&N railway tracks that run adjacent to the Morningstar Golf Club parking lot, just behind the tree line. The Webex mentioned that **deforestation** in that area would be required, which is very significant to the natural landscape of this area. A 20 storey cell tower in this location will hover over the golf course and ruin the scenic panorama that is at the core and essence of the beauty of this community. This view is the reason why we moved to French Creek and what motivated us to purchase our home, as is the case for everyone along the golf course and the hundreds of people who golf, walk, and cycle through our community every day. They all stop to gaze at that beautiful view and take photos. We see many, many people stop to admire this panorama every single day.

***The construction of a massive cell tower that **obstructs the mountain view panorama** in our community will **reduce the property value of our home properties** as well as significantly diminish our own enjoyment of the views around this gorgeous community.

*****There is a potential impact on the flight path of planes going in and out of the Qualicum Beach Airport.** Flights may be redirected to fly over our residences more frequently in order to stay clear of the 20 storey high cell tower, if this tower proposal goes through. We may find ourselves with **significantly more airplane noise** if the flight path is no longer over the agricultural and natural spaces of Hodges Road.

***The **cell tower should be placed in an industrial zone** rather than within our residential and agricultural zones.

Thank you for listening to our concerns.

Regards,
Nicole & Nelson Cabral

French Creek

Kristy Marks

From: Lisa Grant
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2023 4:00 PM
To: Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks
Subject: FW: MayorTeunis: UK's Eileen O'Connor, her story/Fred Dowe

Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: lgrant@rdn.bc.ca

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

From: Carol Loudon <CLoudon@rdn.bc.ca>
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2023 3:59 PM
To: Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: FW: MayorTeunis: UK's Eileen O'Connor, her story/Fred Dowe

From: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2023 3:35 PM
To: Carol Loudon <CLoudon@rdn.bc.ca>
Subject: Fwd: MayorTeunis: UK's Eileen O'Connor, her story/Fred Dowe

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI
Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 8:25:08 AM
To: Lou Varela <LVarela@qualicumbeach.com>; Heather Svensen <HSvensen@qualicumbeach.com>; Weslie Gould <WGould@qualicumbeach.com>
Subject: Fwd: MayorTeunis: UK's Eileen O'Connor, her story/Fred Dowe

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Carol Dowe [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 6:34:03 AM


To: Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>; Fred Dowe [REDACTED]; Carol Dowe [REDACTED]

Subject: Mayor Teunis: UK's Eileen O'Connor, her story/Fred Dowe

Jan. 25, 2023

Good Morning Teunis,

Eileen O'Connor, of UK, had cancer and talks clearly about what happened from the EMF Radiation exposure. She speaks of the support of her MP and government officials. Please Teunis, read this over, and we did record the meeting and can send it to you. Fred has notes on it, and would be happy to talk with you. It is more than Wifi in the home; we need to be concerned about the accumulated effect of these cell towers.
Kind regards, Carol and Fred Dowe



~~~~~

## In this Newsletter:

### ~ 1. Upcoming C4ST meetings

1) Tuesday, January 24th. 7:30 pm ET

Open to the public C4ST meeting.

Eileen O'Connor of the EM Radiation Research Trust UK

2) Tuesday, January 31st. 4:00 pm ET (note- afternoon meeting)

C4ST Working Group Meeting: Issues listed below. If interested in attending, email: [marg.c4st@gmail.com](mailto:marg.c4st@gmail.com)

3) Tuesday Feb. 14, 2023. 7:30 pm ET

C4ST Community Member meeting (includes Riding Reps)

Special guest: Barb Payne President of EPIC: STEPPING FORWARD INTO PROGRESS

2008 to 2023 and onward

If interested in attending, email: [marg.c4st@gmail.com](mailto:marg.c4st@gmail.com) or Shelley at [shelleyW@c4st.org](mailto:shelleyW@c4st.org)

~ 2. Fabulous new website: **Wireless Enviro Impacts** - Canada's best website with the science

ALSO

A chart to ponder and distribute - summary of biological effects on plants and animals including

~ 3. Safe Tech - Tips on how to wire your home (instead of wireless)

~ 4. STAY INFORMED - Subscribe to Sharon's UPDATE: [citizensforsafertech@shaw.ca](mailto:citizensforsafertech@shaw.ca)

~ 5. How to join us Tuesday Jan 24, 2023 7:30 pm ET by Zoom and by phone.

**1) Open C4ST meeting. Tuesday, January 24th. 7:30 PM**

**4 Campaign: Suspend 5G and Promote Fibre Optic and**

**~ 2. Wireless Enviro Impacts - Canada's best website (antennas) on wildlife, including birds, bees and trees**

**id 5G Appeal**

**Bioeffects in plants and animals at common everyday**

**Figure 2 - modified from Protect Birds, Bees and Trees: Include Anthropogenic Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Interference (Updated APRIL. 2022)**

by Prevent Cancer Now and Canadians for Safe Technology.

<https://preventcancernow.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Include-Anthropogenic-Radiofrequency-Electromagnetic-Interference-in-Canadian-Law.pdf>

**January 3**

<https://wirelessenviroimpacts.science/>

<https://wirelessenviroimpacts.science/>

Wildlife need protections in Canadian Law from exposures to cell tower radiation. Let's make it happen.

## **2. HESA 2015 Recommendations - Follow up.**

Has the government of Canada (Health Canada, et al.) done anything to implement the 12 recommendations? Let's find out.

## **3) C4ST Community Member meeting (includes Riding of the Wild)**

**Tuesday, Feb 14th 7:30 pm**

If interested in attending, email: [marg.c4st@gmail.com](mailto:marg.c4st@gmail.com) or Shelley at [ShelleyW@c4st.org](mailto:ShelleyW@c4st.org)

## References for Bioeffects from exposures to RF-EMR chart:

Examples of biological effects on biota of wireless radiation (radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation) exposures

See the full document: **Protect Birds, Bees and Trees: Include Anthropogenic Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Rad**

<https://preventcancer.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Include-Anthropogenic-Radiofrequency-Electromagnetic>

1. Alimohammadi, I., et al. (2018). The effects of radiofrequency radiation on mice fetus weight, length and tissues. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2018, 1-10.
2. Balmori, A. (2005). Possible Effects of Electromagnetic Fields from Phone Masts on a Population of White Stork (*Ciconia ciconia*). *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2005, 1-10.
3. Balmori, A. (2010). Mobile phone mast effects on common frog (*Rana temporaria*) tadpoles: the city turned into a laboratory. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2010, 1-10.
4. Burlaka, A., et al. (2013). Overproduction of free radical species in embryonal cells exposed to low intensity radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2013, 1-10.
5. Cammaerts, M.-C., & Johansson, O. O. (2015). Effect of man-made electromagnetic fields on common Brassicaceae plants. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2015, 1-10.
6. Cammaerts, M.-C., et al. (2013). Food collection and response to pheromones in an ant species exposed to electromagnetic radiation. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2013, 1-10.
7. Cammaerts, M.-C., et al. (2014). Effect of Short-Term GSM Radiation at Representative Levels in Society on a Biological Model. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2014, 1-10.
8. Fesenko, E. E., et al. (1999). Microwaves and cellular immunity. I. Effect of whole body microwave irradiation on tumor growth. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 1999, 1-10.
9. Frątczak, M., et al. (2020). Infected *Ixodes ricinus* ticks are attracted by electromagnetic radiation of 900 MHz. *Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases*, 2020, 1-10.
10. Gandhi, G., et al. (2015). A cross-sectional case control study on genetic damage in individuals residing in the vicinity of mobile phone masts. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2015, 1-10.
11. Gulati, S., et al. (2016). Effect of GSTM1 and GSTT1 Polymorphisms on Genetic Damage in Humans Populations Exposed to Electromagnetic Radiation. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2016, 1-10.
12. Gürler, H. Ş., et al. (2014). Increased DNA oxidation (8-OHdG) and protein oxidation (AOPP) by low level electromagnetic radiation. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2014, 1-10.
13. Lazaro, A., et al. (2016). Electromagnetic radiation of mobile telecommunication antennas affects the abundance of bees. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2016, 1-10.
14. Magras, I. N., & Xenos, T. D. (1997). RF radiation-induced changes in the prenatal development of mice. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 1997, 1-10.
15. Monselise, E. B. et al. (2011). Bioassay for assessing cell stress in the vicinity of radio-frequency irradiating antennas. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2011, 1-10.
16. Novoselova, E. G., et al. (1999). Microwaves and cellular immunity. II. Immunostimulating effects of microwaves on lymphocytes. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 1999, 1-10.
17. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0302459899000598?via%3Dihub>
18. Özsoğacı, N. P., et al. (2020). Protective Effects of Zinc on 2.45 GHz Electromagnetic Radiation-Induced Oxidative DNA Damage in Mice. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2020, 1-10.
19. Pesnya, D. S., & Romanovsky, A. V. (2013). Comparison of cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of plutonium-239 alpha particles and gamma rays. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2013, 1-10.
20. Tsybulin, O., et al. (2013). GSM 900 MHz cellular phone radiation can either stimulate or depress early embryogenesis. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2013, 1-10.
21. Vargová, B., et al. (2017). Ticks and radio-frequency signals: behavioural response of ticks (*Dermacentor reticulatus*). *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2017, 1-10.
22. Vargová, B., et al. (2018). Electromagnetic radiation and behavioural response of ticks: an experimental test. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2018, 1-10.
23. Waldmann-Selsam, C., et al. (2016). Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2016, 1-10.
24. Yakymenko, I., et al. (2018). Oxidative and mutagenic effects of low intensity GSM 1800 MHz microwave radiation on mice. *Journal of Electromagnetic Interference and Compatibility*, 2018, 1-10.

10. Gandhi, G., et al. (2015). A cross-sectional case control study on genetic damage in individuals residing in the vicinity of mobile phone base stations.
11. Gulati, S., et al. (2016). Effect of GSTM1 and GSTT1 Polymorphisms on Genetic Damage in Humans Populations Exposed to Electromagnetic Radiation.
12. Gürler, H. Ş., et al. (2014). Increased DNA oxidation (8-OHdG) and protein oxidation (AOPP) by low level electromagnetic radiation.
13. Lazaro, A., et al. (2016). Electromagnetic radiation of mobile telecommunication antennas affects the abundance of microorganisms in the environment.
14. Magras, I. N., & Xenos, T. D. (1997). RF radiation-induced changes in the prenatal development of mice. Bioelectromagnetics.
15. Monselise, E. B. et al. (2011). Bioassay for assessing cell stress in the vicinity of radio-frequency irradiating antennas.
16. Novoselova, E. G., et al. (1999). Microwaves and cellular immunity. II. Immunostimulating effects of microwaves on the immune system.
17. Özsoğacı, N. P., et al. (2020). Protective Effects of Zinc on 2.45 GHz Electromagnetic Radiation-Induced Oxidative DNA Damage in Human Cells.
18. Pesnya, D. S., & Romanovsky, A. V. (2013). Comparison of cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of plutonium-239 alpha particles and gamma rays.
19. Tsybulin, O., et al. (2013). GSM 900 MHz cellular phone radiation can either stimulate or depress early embryogenesis.
20. Vargová, B., et al. (2017). Ticks and radio-frequency signals: behavioural response of ticks (Dermacentor reticulatus).
21. Vargová, B., et al. (2018). Electromagnetic radiation and behavioural response of ticks: an experimental test. Experimental Applied Acoustics.
22. Waldmann-Selsam, C., et al. (2016). Radiofrequency radiation injures trees around mobile phone base stations. Trees.
23. Yakymenko, I., et al. (2018). Oxidative and mutagenic effects of low intensity GSM 1800 MHz microwave radiation on human cells.


**\* If your Member of Parliament is on the Parliamentary Environment**

**JOIN Zoom Meeting**

*C4ST is a national not-for-profit volunteer coalition of parents, citizens and experts.*

<https://c4st.org/>

To unsubscribe, reply with Unsubscribe in the subject line.



**Home**


[DB](#)





--

**Jo Anne Tudor**



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:04 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: No to Tower \*\*\*\*\*

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 10:48 AM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: No to Tower \*\*\*\*\*

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Good morning Lisa ,  
They just keep coming.  
Teunis

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** ray smith [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 12, 2023 11:29:30 PM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** No to Tower \*\*\*\*\*

hello Teunis Westbroek  
[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)

February 5, 2023

In light of ever-growing evidence showing the actual harms that Electro Magnetic Radiation / Frequencies are causing to both ourselves and the environment, surely we must deem the people's health as inviolate.

Therefore wisdom dictates that avoidance of these technologies is the right course of action. Thus, I ask that you choose to say /select “NO” when you vote on these matters, that provide for increasing facilitation and supportive Higher Frequency infrastructures — and particularly in this case, the proposed cell-tower at 1477 Hodges Road.

I encourage you to assist the people; discontinue following this unfortunate path, to avoid having all life suffer the proverbial “death by a thousand **unseen** cuts.”

## **U.S. Gov. Admits ‘5G Radiation Causes COVID-19’ – *Stunning Admission***

Also, please take **6 minutes** to watch this news presentation. The NIH revelations speak directly to the health damage, generated by ultra high frequencies:::

<https://rumble.com/v274idk-u.s.-government-admits-5g-radiation-causes-covid-19-stunning-admission.html>

Sincerely, from a concerned man,

Raymond Smith

Qualicum Beach

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:04 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: No to Tower

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 9:00 AM  
**To:** ray smith [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: No to Tower

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board but we are tracking correspondence we receive.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** ray smith [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 12, 2023 6:57 PM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** No to Tower

**⚠ EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

hello Vanessa Craig  
[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)

February 5, 2023

In light of ever-growing evidence showing the actual harms that Electro Magnetic Radiation / Frequencies are causing to both ourselves and the environment, surely we must deem the people's health as inviolate.

Therefore wisdom dictates that avoidance of these technologies is the right course of action. Thus, I ask that you choose to say /select "NO" when you vote on these matters, that provide for increasing facilitation and supportive Higher Frequency infrastructures — and particularly in this case, the proposed cell-tower at 1477 Hodges Road.

I encourage you to assist the people; discontinue following this unfortunate path, to avoid having all life suffer the proverbial "death by a thousand **unseen** cuts."

## **U.S. Gov. Admits '5G Radiation Causes COVID-19' – *Stunning Admission***

Also, please take **6 minutes** to watch this news presentation. The NIH revelations speak directly to the health damage, generated by ultra high frequencies:::

<https://rumble.com/v274idk-u.s.-government-admits-5g-radiation-causes-covid-19-stunning-admission.html>

Sincerely, from a concerned man,

Raymond Smith

[REDACTED] Qualicum Beach, [REDACTED]

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 11:48 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: oppose the 207 foot cell tower at Hodges Road, French Creek, Parksville

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 10:40 AM  
**To:** Susan Longley [REDACTED]; [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
**Cc:** [tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca); Jessica Stanley <[jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lehann Wallace <[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Paul Manly <[paul.manly@nanaimo.ca](mailto:paul.manly@nanaimo.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>; [mark.swain@lantzville.ca](mailto:mark.swain@lantzville.ca); [Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com); Leanne Salter <[leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: oppose the 207 foot cell tower at Hodges Road, French Creek, Parksville

Good morning,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

**From:** SusanLongley [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 10:09 AM  
**To:** [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
**Cc:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>; [tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca); Jessica Stanley <[jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lehann Wallace <[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Paul Manly <[paul.manly@nanaimo.ca](mailto:paul.manly@nanaimo.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>; [mark.swain@lantzville.ca](mailto:mark.swain@lantzville.ca); [Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com); Leanne Salter <[leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** oppose the 207 foot cell tower at Hodges Road, French Creek, Parksville

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Good morning Brian, and Regional District directors, I write to most emphatically

[oppose the 207 foot cell tower at Hodges Road, French Creek, Parksville.](#)

As you have heard from other public meetings, these towers are a threat to all life, especially the insects and microbes that are the base of our food systems. I know you can say that has not been scientifically proven, but the studies have NOT been done to

prove they ARE safe either. We must use the precautionary principle -do no harm until something has been proven safe. Thank you for reading my concerns.

Susan Longley

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 4:45 PM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition 5g Tower 1477 Hodges Rd PLEASE vote NO

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 4:24 PM  
**To:** Shari MacDonald [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Opposition 5g Tower 1477 Hodges Rd PLEASE vote NO

Good afternoon,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** Shari MacDonald [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 1:31 PM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Opposition 5g Tower 1477 Hodges Rd PLEASE vote NO

⚠ EXTERNAL Verify links before clicking.

I recently visited the Qualicum beach fire hall it provided me with a close up perspective of 5g towers. Standing in the parking lot I took this photo.

Do you want this tower near you? I don't. We don't neighbors don't visitors don't



These are our families, friends and neighbors properties.

Restricting property usage (adjoining properties future development) property values, health and safety.

Ask yourself - do I want this tower near me? Near my family? In my neighborhood? On ALR land?

Thank you for your time and careful consideration of this proposal.

PLEASE vote NO to the tower installation at 1477 Hodges Road Parksville BC.

Shari MacDonald

[REDACTED] Parksville BC

PS

my cell service was the same bars of service and same speeds by the tower in Qualicum as they are on Hodges Road

Use of a cell phone to make 911 calls has never been an issue from [REDACTED] Parksville BC.

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:11 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to proposed cell tower 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville, BC

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 9:02 AM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to proposed cell tower 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville, BC

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)


---

**From:** Bonita Alexander [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:06:00 PM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** Opposition to proposed cell tower 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville, BC

Hi there,

I wanted to write to you regarding the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. I am in opposition of the placement of this cell tower for several reasons.

We enjoy a pristine view to the south and the proposed placement is not only an eyesore, but detracts from the neighbourhood [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]



It has been shown that being exposed 24/7 to this type of EMF contributes to demyelination of nerves causing further progression of neurological conditions such as MS.

I have heard Mr. Brian Gregg say that Health Canada Section 6 states that the placement of this tower is safe for people to live close to. There was a time, not long ago, when Health Canada felt that Thalidomide was safe and effective for women experiencing morning sickness. Today we now know of the negative impact of Thalidomide and the birth defects that resulted.

I am not opposed to technology and I recognize that this is a convenience in our modern society. However, I am opposed to the proposed location and feel that there are other suitable alternatives away from people and animals (farming) that should be considered. The potential health effects cannot be ignored. Everyone reacts differently to these environmental triggers, so it's best to exercise caution. There is an area on Church road away from a large population that would make more sense.

Thank you for taking time to read my letter and logging my opposition to the proposed site on Hodges Road. I hope you will take my letter into consideration when making your decision about the proposed location of this cell tower. If you would like to speak with me further, I would be happy to chat. I have left my number below.

Kind Regards,  
Bonnie Alexander

  
Parksville, BC  


## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:09 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to proposed cell tower 1477 Hodges Road Parksville BC

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Bonita Alexander [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 11:28 AM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: Opposition to proposed cell tower 1477 Hodges Road Parksville BC

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Thanks so much for your reply Vanessa. I have shared my feedback with the consultant Brian Gregg as well. Hope you have a lovely weekend.  
Bonnie

On Fri, Feb 10, 2023 at 11:22 AM Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)> wrote:

Good morning,

Thank you for your email. I'm sorry to hear about [REDACTED]

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

---

**From:** Bonita Alexander [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 10:44 PM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig  
**Subject:** Opposition to proposed cell tower 1477 Hodges Road Parksville BC

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear Vanessa,

I wanted to write to you regarding the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] I am in opposition of the placement of this cell tower for several reasons.

We enjoy a pristine view to the south and the proposed placement is not only an eyesore, but detracts from the neighbourhood [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]

It has been shown that being exposed 24/7 to this type of EMF contributes to demyelination of nerves causing further progression of neurological conditions such as MS.

I have heard Mr. Brian Gregg say that Health Canada Section 6 states that the placement of this tower is safe for people to live close to. There was a time, not long ago, when Health Canada felt that Thalidomide was safe and effective for women experiencing morning sickness. Today we now know of the negative impact of Thalidomide and the birth defects that resulted.

I am not opposed to technology and I recognize that this is a convenience in our modern society. However, I am opposed to the proposed location and feel that there are other suitable alternatives away from people and animals (farming) that should be considered. The potential health effects cannot be ignored. Everyone reacts differently to these environmental triggers, so it's best to exercise caution. There is an area on Church road away from a large population that would make more sense.

Thank you for taking time to read my letter and logging my opposition to the proposed site on Hodges Road. I hope you will take my letter into consideration when making your decision about the proposed location of this cell tower. If you would like to speak with me further, I would be happy to chat. I have left my number below.

Kind Regards,  
Bonnie Alexander

[REDACTED]

Parksville, BC

[REDACTED]



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Friday, March 3, 2023 7:26 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd. Parksville, BC

**Lisa Grant** (she/her) [Why do I share pronouns?](#)

General Manager, Development and Emergency Services

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 2, 2023 4:57 PM  
**To:** WJ ALEXANDER [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Opposition to proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd. Parksville, BC

Good morning,

Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board but we are tracking correspondence we receive which will be included for the Board's consideration if/when the project is considered by the Board.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,

Vanessa Craig, Chair, RDN Board

Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** WJ ALEXANDER [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 19, 2023 12:42 PM

To: Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>

Subject: Opposition to proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd. Parksville, BC

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hi Vanessa,

Thanks for taking the time to read this email regarding the 206 foot tall (equivalent to 21 story high rise building) cell tower. Myself, my wife and many of our neighbours on [REDACTED] and throughout the surrounding area, are very concerned about the proposed construction of the tower south of the Morningstar Golf Course.

Like many of us, we moved from the Lower Mainland to the quieter and less congested municipality of French Creek. With the Morningstar Golf Course [REDACTED] I was surprised to see a diverse ecosystem that I have not seen in since the 1970's in North Vancouver. Our yards are populated by numerous types of frogs, newts, and salamanders. At certain times of the year a train of dragonflies, fly back and forth for weeks eating insects. At nightfall numerous bats can be seen flying through our backyards and the golf course. Bees can always be seen throughout the spring and summer. In addition, snakes are present during the warmer months. Numerous birds including Canada geese, eagles, owls, hummingbirds and other small migratory birds are always present.

Our concern is that the Canada Health Act Code Section 6 does not appear to have been updated since 2015 which is prior to 5G technology. Many think that a tower over 200 ft tall is overkill and may have deleterious environmental and physical repercussions. An engineer told many of us that this 5G cell tower, and all its numerous communication devices, will be able to service cell phones as far as Sechelt. It seems obvious that the tower of this size, is planned to service French Creek and beyond for decades, with Telus and Rogers being able to add additional devices (5G and beyond) without public consultation.

Surely better cell phone reception could be achieved further away from our healthy diverse ecosystem. Empty fields and industrial lands are a short distance away from residential and farm properties. With a negative impact of EMF, a large group of concerned community members who have taken the time to study this issue, believe it is prudent to look into changing the proposed site to a different more suitable area.

Regards,  
Bill Alexander

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:08 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville : An eye sore obstructing our pristine view

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 11:44 AM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville : An eye sore obstructing our pristine view

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** WJ ALEXANDER [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 10:41:35 AM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville : An eye sore obstructing our pristine view

---

**Subject:** Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville : An eye sore obstructing our pristine view

As a resident on [REDACTED]. We are opposed to the propose site of the cell tower. We enjoy a pristine infinity view from our homes including the golf course and the landscape miles beyond. Looking at the map of the proposed site for the 206 ft tall (equivalent to a 21 story hi-rise), it will be an eye sore ruining our view from the homes on [REDACTED]. Does anybody enjoy the idea of looking at a 206 ft tall

metal structure with numerous communications devices attached to it? Nobody.... especially when we presently look out an expansive natural green space.

The solution is simple. Moving the planned site of the tower southwest on the same farmer's property would be preferred by the residents of [REDACTED]

W Alexander

[REDACTED]  
Parksville

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:09 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville re: Reduced Property Values

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 11:43 AM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville re: Reduced Property Values

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** WJ ALEXANDER [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 11:39:02 AM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville re: Reduced Property Values

---

**Subject:** Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd., Parksville re: Reduced Property Values

Our home on [REDACTED] When looking the proposed site of the 206 ft tall tower, it is equivalent to a 21 story hi-rise and will be the highest man made structure in Parksville. It's presence would be unsightly in our present park like view and will reduce our property values. It will stand out like a blight on the landscape towering over twice as a high as the present tree line.

For this reason, the present proposed site should be reconsidered. The owner of the farm at 1477 Hodges Rd could be receptive to the tower location being moved closer to their home southwest of planned site. After all, they will be enjoying monthly payments of thousands of dollars while our property values drop.

It is only reasonable to reassess the present proposed location.

W Alexander

  
Parksville

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:08 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville re: Proximity is too close to the Morningstar farm and Qualicum Cheeseworks

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 12:41 PM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville re: Proximity is too close to the Morningstar farm and Qualicum Cheeseworks

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** WJ ALEXANDER [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 12:40:36 PM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville re: Proximity is too close to the Morningstar farm and Qualicum Cheeseworks

---

**Subject:** Opposition to the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville re: Proximity is too close to the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The store at the [REDACTED] has a petition to oppose the proposed site of the cell tower. The potential negative physiological effects of EMF (electromagnetic frequency) on both humans and livestock is contentious issue. Therefore, it is only prudent to move the cell tower to a safer place.

The [REDACTED] and it would be most unfortunate for the business to be negatively impacted by the presence of nearby cell tower.

W Alexander

[REDACTED]  
Parksville



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 10:58 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Please vote yes on the French Creek cell tower

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:08 AM  
**To:** Andy Gilman [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Please vote yes on the French Creek cell tower

Good morning,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** Andy Gilman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 8, 2023 5:39 PM

To: Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>

Subject: Please vote yes on the French Creek cell tower

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hi Vanessa,

As Chair of the RDN, I imagine you are getting lots of correspondence on this issue. [REDACTED] and will be served by this important improvement in service.

There is much false information being spread about the dangers to children, animals and seniors from electromagnetic radiation (EMF). Much comes from a lobby group whose members do not live in our neighbourhood.

Web sites such as those from the World Health Organization, the International Agency for Research on Cancer and the federal and environmental departments of North American and European countries are being ignored in favor of conspiracy sites that claim studies (that not peer reviewed) have proven that cell towers are harmful to health. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], I fully support this cell tower installation.

Please vote yes so we can finally access reliable cell service in our area for 911 calls and for undertaking day-to-day business.

Thanks Vanessa.

Andrew Gilman [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, March 6, 2023 8:11 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road, Parksville

FYI

**Lisa Grant** (she/her) [Why do I share pronouns?](#)

General Manager, Development and Emergency Services

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 4, 2023 11:05 AM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road, Parksville

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hi Lisa.

FYI.

Teunis

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Elisabeth Clarke-Gilman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2023 5:32:10 PM  
**To:** Beth Clarke Gilman [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Proposed 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road, Parksville

I am writing to you to urge you to vote **YES** to the proposed 5G Cell Tower on Hodges Road. I live in French Creek [REDACTED] and am extremely concerned by the lack of cell service in our area.

I cannot tell you the number of times that calls have been dropped or failed to go through from our cell phones. Therefore we must still rely on a landline in our home. It is of utmost concern to my husband and I that we will have to rely on cell service in the case of health or other emergencies when there is a power outage.

I do not believe that there will be any negative health effects from this tower and as such I am in full support of the project.

Thank you.

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 2, 2023 8:02 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: PROPOSED 207 foot 5G CELL TOWER at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville B.C V9P2B5

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 1, 2023 6:52 PM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: PROPOSED 207 foot 5G CELL TOWER at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville B.C V9P2B5

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** JEAN FORREST [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 1, 2023 6:50:50 PM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** PROPOSED 207 foot 5G CELL TOWER at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville B.C V9P2B5

Dear Mr. Westbroek,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed construction of a 207 Foot 5G Cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville B.C. V9P2B5. While I understand the importance of improving cellular and network capacity, I believe that the proposed tower poses significant concerns for our local community.

First and foremost, the towers size and proximity to residential and rural areas could have a negative impact on the property values and quality of life for residents. There is also great concern about potential health effects of the electromagnetic radiation emitted by the tower as well as impact on local wildlife ,(insects, bees, birds (eagle nests in area) , and the environment.

I urge you to consider alternative solutions that do not involve the construction of a tower of this size and location . This could include the use of smaller, less intrusive towers, or the deployment of alternative technologies such as small cells or mesh.

I appreciate your time and consideration on this important issue and I hope that you will take the concerns of the local community into account as you make your decision on our behalf.

Thank you

Sincerely  
Jean Forrest

[REDACTED]  
Parksville B.C.  
[REDACTED]

Please acknowledge that this email was received

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 11:47 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed call tower Hodges Rd

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 10:51 AM  
**To:** Heather Forrest [REDACTED]; [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed call tower Hodges Rd

Good morning,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)


---

**From:** Heather Forrest [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 5, 2023 6:18 PM  
**To:** [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
**Subject:** Proposed call tower Hodges Rd

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed 207 5G cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville, B.C. I understand the importance of improving cell service and technology, but I am concerned about the potential impacts on human health, property values and the environment. 

As a resident [REDACTED], I am deeply concerned about the adverse effects the [REDACTED]. The intrusive size of the tower, its potential impact on human health and its potential effect on property values are significant concerns.

I respectfully request the authorities consider alternative methods for delivering cell phone services that do not have such adverse effects on the community and the environment. [REDACTED] and we would like to ensure that future generations can continue to live here without any harm to their health or well-being.

Thank you for considering my concerns. I look forward to the opportunity to discuss this further.

Sincerely,

Heather Forrest  
[REDACTED]

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 11:21 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed Cell Tower

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 4:22 PM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed Cell Tower

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

And another one Lisa.  
Take care, you are doing a good job,  
Teunis

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Clifford Smith [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 1:21:17 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed Cell Tower

We are writing to voice our opposition to the construction of a 5G cell tower on Hodges Road, Parksville.

We are concerned about the health issues, especially the radiofrequency radiation [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Another concern is loss of a beautiful view which may affect property values.

Why can't the tower be built in an industrial area away from residential areas?



Sincerely,  
C & L Smith

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 7:54 PM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed Cell Tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville ,BC, 63 Metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

FYI

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 7:53 PM

**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>

**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed Cell Tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville ,BC, 63 Metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hi Lisa,

FYI.

Teunis

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Jo Anne Tudor [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 7:40:39 PM

**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>

**Subject:** Proposed Cell Tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville ,BC, 63 Metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Dear Tunis,

I am writing you with my concerns regarding the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd, Parksville . For the reasons stated below, I am against building the tower and would like to see safer technologies used to bring residents their services.

There are numerous new studies which have established the undeniable validity of harm occurring from Electro Magnetic Radiation emission from cell towers located within striking distance of human settlements. Scientists globally are providing data establishing the damage caused by radiation from cell towers. These include cancer (with a high incidence of gliomas (brain cancer), dizziness, brain fog, vomiting, rashes, DNA changes, infertility, exhaustion and more.

Fragile ecosystems, plants, trees, bees, birds, aquatic life, are all negatively impacted by EMR. Bees and pollinator populations are in decline and are not recovering to date. EMR impacts our overall environment, causing rapid health decline of surrounding trees, ground cover beneath the dying trees, invertebrates, marine life, animal, and avian populations - including the local rare White Raven.

EMR does not recognize electoral boundaries which will effect the overall health of Qualicum residents and nearby elementary school children, as well as Parksville, Coombs, Hilliers and French Creek. EMR can cause serious consequences to Eagles, Owls, and raptors within the French Creek catchment area. Songbird populations will be reduced along with our local Anna's hummingbirds. EMR kills off the food sources for resident avian populations causing a spiral regarding the overall health of the environment.

Electromagnetic radiation has been classified by the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer, as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). Further, scientists have recently advised that considering the current level of published research on brain tumours and radio frequency radiation, EMR should now be listed as a Class 1 Known Carcinogen. In addition, Safety Code 6 must be updated to reflect prevailing research, as it was created in the 1970's, focusing only on federal employees and visitors to federal buildings. The code was expanded to include Wi-Fi, smart phones, smart meters, and cell towers; however, there have been no major revisions over the last 30 years regarding EMR damage.

In addition, there is no safety allowance for children or pregnant women. [see links below]

Considering our track record in North America and the approval of substances such as tobacco, asbestos, BPA, thalidomide, DDT, and urea formaldehyde insulation, citizen confidence in the safety code is minimal at best. Hopefully the RDN board will understand the pandemonium that occurs as a result of adding EMR to our once healthy environments and the surrounding population.

The RDN board state they are extremely concerned about the state of the environment. This is the action that we, as local citizens solicit from our elected officials to avoid further destruction of the sensitive ecosystem that all living things rely on.

I would like to see Telus and Rogers use alternative methods of delivering cell phone services to customers - that cause no defoliation, loss of habitat, environmental contamination or impact to health and well being of residents. Only last year, the RDN board passed a motion to support fiber optic in some communities including Nanaimo. This could be used in French Creek with less damage to our health and to our fragile ecosystem.

I've included below a short, compelling video by Frank Clegg, former President of Microsoft Canada. He is now the President of Citizens for Safe Technology. C4ST is a not-for-profit, volunteer-based coalition of parents, citizens and experts whose mission is to educate and inform Canadians and their policy makers about the dangers of the exposures to unsafe levels of radiation from technology and to work with all levels of government to create healthier communities for children and families from coast to coast.

<https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&q=youtube+frank+Clegg+ceo+C4st#fpstate=ive&vld=cid:a8728269,vid:xW7BbztmuYg>

Kind Regards,

Jo Anne Tudor  
[REDACTED], Qualicum Beach

[HOME | BabySafe Project](https://www.babysafeproject.org/) <https://www.babysafeproject.org/> Important information for parents of small children.

Please view the long list of doctors and scientists who signed their joint statement.

<https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/5G-5-facts.pdf> Small, printable medical information brochure.

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 11:48 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed Cell Tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville ,BC, 63 Metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 10:41 AM  
**To:** Jo Anne Tudor [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Brian Gregg <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>; Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Cell Tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville ,BC, 63 Metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

Good morning,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--  
Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** Jo Anne Tudor [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 5, 2023 9:31 PM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>

Cc: Brian Gregg <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>

Subject: Proposed Cell Tower 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville ,BC, 63 Metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear Vanessa,

I am writing you with my concerns regarding the proposed cell tower at 1477 Hodges Rd, Parksville . For the reasons stated below, I am against building the tower and would like to see safer technologies used to bring residents their services.

There are numerous new studies which have established the undeniable validity of harm occurring from Electro Magnetic Radiation emission from cell towers located within striking distance of human settlements. Scientists globally are providing data establishing the damage caused by radiation from cell towers. These include cancer (with a high incidence of gliomas (brain cancer), dizziness, brain fog, vomiting, rashes, DNA changes, infertility, exhaustion and more.

Fragile ecosystems, plants, trees, bees, birds, aquatic life, are all negatively impacted by EMR. Bees and pollinator populations are in decline and are not recovering to date. EMR impacts our overall environment, causing rapid health decline of surrounding trees, ground cover beneath the dying trees, invertebrates, marine life, animal, and avian populations - including the local rare White Raven.

EMR does not recognize electoral boundaries which will effect the overall health of Qualicum residents and nearby elementary school children, as well as Parksville, Coombs, Hilliers and French Creek. EMR can cause serious consequences to Eagles, Owls, and raptors within the French Creek catchment area. Songbird populations will be reduced along with our local Anna's hummingbirds. EMR kills off the food sources for resident avian populations causing a spiral regarding the overall health of the environment.

Electromagnetic radiation has been classified by the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer, as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). Further, scientists have recently advised that considering the current level of published research on brain tumours and radio frequency radiation, EMR should now be listed as a Class 1 Known Carcinogen. In addition, Safety Code 6 must be updated to reflect prevailing research, as it was created in the 1970's, focusing only on federal employees and visitors to federal buildings. The code was expanded to include Wi-Fi, smart phones, smart meters, and cell towers; however, there have been no major revisions over the last 30 years regarding EMR damage.

In addition, there is no safety allowance for children or pregnant women. [see links below]

Considering our track record in North America and the approval of substances such as tobacco, asbestos, BPA, thalidomide, DDT, and urea formaldehyde insulation, citizen confidence in the safety code is minimal at best.

Hopefully the RDN board will understand the pandemonium that occurs as a result of adding EMR to our once healthy environments and the surrounding population.

The RDN board state they are extremely concerned about the state of the environment. This is the action that we, as local citizens solicit from our elected officials to avoid further destruction of the sensitive ecosystem that all living things rely on.

I would like to see Telus and Rogers use alternative methods of delivering cell phone services to customers - that cause no defoliation, loss of habitat, environmental contamination or impact to health and well being of residents. Only last year, the RDN board passed a motion to support fiber optic in some communities including Nanaimo. This could be used in French Creek with less damage to our health and to our fragile ecosystem.

I've included below a short, compelling video by Frank Clegg, former President of Microsoft Canada. He is now the President of Citizens for Safe Technology. C4ST is a not-for-profit, volunteer-based coalition of parents, citizens and experts whose mission is to educate and inform Canadians and their policy makers about the dangers of the exposures to unsafe levels of radiation from technology and to work with all levels of government to create healthier communities for children and families from coast to coast.

<https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&q=youtube+frank+Clegg+ceo+C4st#fpstate=ive&vld=cid:a8728269,vid:xW7BbztmuYg>

Kind Regards,  
Jo Anne Tudor

[REDACTED], Qualicum Beach

[HOME | BabySafe Project](https://www.babysafeproject.org/) <https://www.babysafeproject.org/> Important information for parents of small children.

Please view the long list of doctors and scientists who signed their joint statement.

<https://phiremedical.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/5G-5-facts.pdf> Small, printable medical information brochure.

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 8:00 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed Cell Tower

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 4, 2023 6:07 PM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Proposed Cell Tower

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

FYI

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Linda Lenz [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 4, 2023 6:05:12 PM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Subject:** Proposed Cell Tower

Hello,

I am sending this to say **NO** to the proposed 63.0 meter cell tower at Hodges Road in French Creek. I feel this is an inappropriate location to put a tower so close to a residential neighborhood and don't see why you couldn't have found a spot further away to put it when there are so many other possibilities. I am extremely concerned about what it will be doing to our health as well as the wildlife around us.

Thank you,  
Linda Lenz  
Regional District # 69



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, January 30, 2023 12:57 PM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 30, 2023 12:31 PM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER

You don't often get email from [twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com). [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Vic & Judy [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 30, 2023 10:09 AM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <[TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com)>  
**Cc:** Brian Gregg <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>  
**Subject:** PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER

Dear Mr. Mayor,

We are residents of [REDACTED]/French Creek and [REDACTED] to the proposed cell tower, which we are passionately against. Some of our reasons are as follows:

1. Safety Code 6 which Brian Gregg referred to a number of times during the Webex on January 11th, is outdated. There has been no major revision to it in many years, regarding the data on EMF damage. There is also no safety allowance for children and pregnant women. As an example, cigarettes, asbestos, BPA, thalidomide, etc. were considered safe.....until they weren't.
2. On a website called Americansforsafetech.org, it shows that hundreds of independent studies have been done, and listed, pointing to the serious health implications of EMF. These range from cancer, especially brain tumours, to neurological disorders and learning disabilities. Children are very susceptible, and Oceanside Elementary is only a couple of blocks from the proposed site. [REDACTED]
3. Many people who are extremely sensitive to EMF experience ongoing dizziness, brain fog, nausea, exhaustion, as well as infertility and DNA changes. Scientists have recently advised that due to the level of published research on brain tumours and radio frequency radiation, that it should be listed as a CLASS 1 known carcinogen.

All forms of life are impacted by EMF. We have an abundance of wildlife, including raptors, deer and small mammals in our immediate area, as well as unusual bird species such as the white raven which is unknown anywhere else. Bird species are so abundant that we have birders coming from as far away as Nanaimo to check out the birds on our property.

This 8 minute informative video is by Frank Clegg. He was the president of Microsoft Canada until he became so concerned about the harmful effects of EMF radiation that he formed Canadians for Safe Technology. I would ask that you please take the time to watch this. <https://youtu.be/xW7BbztmuYg>

Please confirm that you have received our letter. Thank you.

Regards,  
Judy & Vic Dougan

[REDACTED]

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Maria Pellizzari <mpellizzari@iuoe115.ca>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 3, 2023 9:48 AM  
**To:** Maria Pellizzari  
**Cc:** Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed Telecommunications Tower - 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville, BC  
**Attachments:** Comment Sheet - Proposed Tower.pdf; 2023.02.02 - LTR to Telus & Rogers - SitePath Consulting.pdf

You don't often get email from mpellizzari@iuoe115.ca. [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear Mr. Gregg,

Please find attached our Comment Sheet and letter regarding the proposed telecommunications tower in French Creek.

Thank you,

**Maria Pellizzari**

Executive Assistant to Lee Riggs, Administrator  
Pension & Benefits Plans



**International Union of Operating Engineers Local 115**

[mpellizzari@iuoe115.ca](mailto:mpellizzari@iuoe115.ca)  
[www.iuoe115.ca](http://www.iuoe115.ca)

4333 Ledger Avenue  
Burnaby, BC  
Canada V5G 3T3  
Direct: 604-473-5278  
General: 604-291-8831  
Toll Free: 1-888-486-3115  
Fax: 604-473-5235

This e-mail message (including attachments, if any) is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, proprietary, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and erase this e-mail message immediately. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the company. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The company accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.

**COMMENT SHEET**  
**PROPOSED TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER**  
**COORDINATES: 49.333209, -124.367044**  
**REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO**  
**TELUS FILE: BC106996 - MORNINGSTAR**  
**ROGERS FILE: W3030 - FRENCH CREEK**

1. Are you a cellular phone or wireless device user?

- Yes  
 No

2. Do you feel this is an appropriate location for the proposed facility?

- Yes  
 No

Comments

(See attached letter with our objections to the proposed facility)

3. Are you satisfied with the appearance / design of the proposed facility? If not, what changes would you suggest?

- Yes  
 No

Comments

(See attached letter with our objections to the proposed facility)

Additional Comments

(See attached letter with our objections to the proposed facility)

Please provide your name and full mailing address if you would like to be informed about the status of this proposal. This information will not be used for marketing purposes.

Name Realcor Golf Inc., Attention: Lee Riggs, Director  
(Please print clearly)

Mailing Address 4333 Ledger Avenue  
Burnaby, BC. V5G 3T3

Email Address lriggs@ioue115.ca

Text

Please email to [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
or mail to PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1  
ATTENTION: Brian Gregg  
by February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

*Thank you for your input.*



February 2, 2023

TELUS and Rogers  
C/O SitePath Consulting Ltd.  
PO Box 20138  
Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1

Attention: Brian Gregg

Dear Sir:

**Re: Objections to Proposed 63.0-metre Tall TELUS & Rogers Radiocommunication Tower  
1477 Hodges Road, Parksville, BC V9P 2B5  
TELS File: BC106996- Morningstar/ Rogers File: W3030 – French Creek**

The Operating Engineers' Pension Plan ("OEPP") of the International Union of Operating Engineers Local 115 is the Beneficial Owner of Morningstar Golf Club located at 525 Lowry's Road in French Creek, BC.

We object to the proposed location and appearance of the steel lattice telecommunication tower (the "Tower"), for the reasons discussed below. However, we do support the need for improved telecommunications service in the French Creek area, albeit at another location on the Hodges Road property.

**BACKGROUND**

Morningstar Golf Club ("MGC") is an accredited Championship-level golf course. It is a challenging 7,018-yard long, par 72 18-hole course. It has hosted notable BC and Canadian tournaments, including three PGA tournaments. This year it will host the BC Amateur Championship.

MGC has over 200 members and many more local and tourist visitors. Together, they account for 35,000-40,000 rounds of golf annually. Members, locals and visitors play MGC to experience the challenge of a Championship-level golf course and enjoy its attractive surroundings.

MGC, as a Championship golf course, is a major tourist attraction and contributor to the Parksville-French Creek-Qualicum Beach economy.

**OUR OBJECTIONS TO TOWER**

We feel that telecommunication towers, especially like the proposed 61-metre Tower with its numerous antennas and bare steel lattice structure, are not attractive and pleasing to the eye, hence our strong objection to the Tower in the proposed location.

rise above.



The proposed location of the Tower at 1477 Hodges Road is immediately adjacent to MGC. The attached map and photo, some taken from your information package, show how close the Tower is to MGC—practically on our property. Indeed, your photo with the rendering of the Tower was taken from our parking lot beside the clubhouse of MGC.

1477 Hodges Road is a large property, measuring 15 hectares (37.1 acres). The residence there is in the southwest corner, far away from the Tower, thus minimizing its visual intrusion on the residents of the property. In fact, the Tower would be much closer to our clubhouse than to the residence at 1477 Hodges Road.

The Tower would be a visual intrusion on MGC, negatively affecting the attractiveness and pleasure of playing our golf course, which we work hard to maintain and enhance, for our members and visitors. Consequently, we believe the Tower will negatively impact our business, reducing the number of annual rounds and thus, financially. This impact will diminish our role as a major tourist attraction and contributor to the Parksville-French Creek-Qualicum Beach economy.

In closing, we are confident you can find a new location that is not visually intrusive on MGC and others, while still providing improved telecommunications service needed in the French Creek area.

Yours truly,

**OPERATING ENGINEERS' PENSION PLAN**

**REALCOR GOLF INC.**



Lee Riggs  
Administrator

Lee Riggs  
Director

Attach.

cc: **Honourable François-Philippe Champagne** MP, Minister of Innovation, Science and Industry, Canada  
**Gordon Johns**, MP for Courtenay-Alberni, Canada  
**Adam Walker**, MLA for Parksville-Qualicum, Province of British Columbia  
**Lehann Wallace**, Director for Electoral Area G, Regional District of Nanaimo  
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada, Victoria, BC  
**Kristy Marks**, Planner, Regional District of Nanaimo

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 8:03 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 3, 2023 4:54 PM  
**To:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

--

Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** Kathy Bush [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 3, 2023 4:43 PM  
**To:** Kevin Martin [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>; Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Tyler Brown <[tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca](mailto:tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca)>; Jessica Stanley <[jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Leonard Krog <[leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca](mailto:leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lehann Wallace <[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Leanne Salter <[leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca)>; Paul Manly <[paul.manly@nanaimo.ca](mailto:paul.manly@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Teunis Westbroek <[mayor@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:mayor@qualicumbeach.com)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Mark Swain <[mayor.swain@lantzville.ca](mailto:mayor.swain@lantzville.ca)>; Brian Gregg <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

I was involved with the initial petition of residents of [REDACTED] and the overwhelming response in favour of improved cell service in French Creek. I most often have no more than one bar of service and several times a day I have no service at all. I concur with Kevin's comments and fully support the proposed tower in our area.

Many thanks

Kathy Bush  
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 3, 2023, at 4:30 PM, Kevin Martin [REDACTED] wrote:

Hello RDN Board Members. I am sending this email to each of you (I did not bcc your IDs as they are all public and I wanted you to see who this email has been sent to) to provide my input in support of the cellular tower proposed for 1477 Hodges Road in Parksville. I am a resident of Parksville and am directly impacted by cellular network coverage in the area where the tower is being proposed.

I'm sure you have received other correspondence from those who are opposed to this cell tower proposal. Too often, the minority opposition to such initiatives is often the most outspoken and I wanted to assure you, they are indeed the minority. I am trying to rally support for the tower and doing so for all the right reasons. I am encouraging majority supporters to affirm that support through the public consultation process.

Parksville and French Creek, like many areas on the island, suffer from lack of cellular network coverage. Sadly, this lack of coverage may not get the publicity it should given the impacts. The facts are that without this cellular coverage, the following may or will occur:

- 9-1-1 cannot be called which puts those having ONLY cellular coverage at risk should emergency services be required (for them or others)
- Arrowsmith Search and Rescue is limited in their communications when their volunteers are providing those critical services
- when fires break out, passersby are impeded in their efforts to engage various fire departments (we have had MANY fires over the past couple of months alone so this risk appears to be growing even more)
- children are at risk should they need to contact parents for a host of reasons (strangers near them with insidious acts in mind, someone gets hurt while playing a pick-up soccer game, or simply very late arriving home and wanting to put parent's minds at ease....and SO many more)
- services in general (contractors trying to reach residents/suppliers...unable to do so which causes delays/uncertainty/cost, specialist appointments may be missed causing impacts to health, important phone calls regarding loved



ones, increased costs because information cannot be obtained without getting to a cellular network area)

Parksville shares with Qualicum Beach the oldest demographic of seniors in Canada. Emergency services and health concerns are a paramount one for us all, including the government.

All of the above are facts, these are not just emotional statements. As well, while some folks may show concern about cell signal radiation, I enclose the following from the consultant's brief regarding such. Telus/Rogers are compliant with Health Canada's standards so if those concerns are real issues, it is Health Canada who should be changing those standards. I know of no such changes being considered, however, I am not well versed in this area.

*Safety Code 6 - ISED requires all wireless carriers to operate in accordance with Health Canada's safety standards.*

*TELUS and Rogers attest that the tower described in this notification package will be installed and operated on an*

*ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 including combined effects with the local radio*

*environment, as may be amended from time to time.*

I hope that each Board Member will strongly consider the important reasons why this cell tower should be approved. I also know a previous petition has been emailed to you and a new online petition has now also been initiated.

My list of reasons in support of the tower may not be comprehensive but I feel it speaks to the most important ones. Residents (and tourists alike) are the ones currently being impacted and this tower will go a long way towards alleviating all of the above communications concerns. I trust the Board will make the right decision for the vast majority of its constituents. Thank you for your consideration.

Kevin

Kevin Martin  


## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 8:02 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Kevin Martin [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 3, 2023 4:42 PM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Cc:** Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Tyler Brown <[tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca](mailto:tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca)>; Jessica Stanley <[jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Leonard Krog <[leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca](mailto:leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lehann Wallace <[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Leanne Salter <[leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca)>; Paul Manly <[paul.manly@nanaimo.ca](mailto:paul.manly@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Teunis Westbroek <[mayor@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:mayor@qualicumbeach.com)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Mark Swain <[mayor.swain@lantzville.ca](mailto:mayor.swain@lantzville.ca)>; Brian Gregg <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>; Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** Re: SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Thanks very much, Vanessa, I appreciate the quick response. I felt the Board would have already seen other opposing views and felt it important to provide input from one of the MANY supporters together with rationale.

I certainly don't want to waste the Board's time but as you may know from other cell tower proposals in the Oceanside area, this is likely to be a sensitive topic and important that supporting views be considered.

I thank you all, in advance, for your time and consideration.

Kevin

Kevin Martin

On Fri, 3 Feb 2023 at 16:32, Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.

Best regards,

Vanessa Craig

--

Vanessa Craig

RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** Kevin Martin

**Sent:** Friday, February 3, 2023 4:30 PM

**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>; Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Tyler Brown <[tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca](mailto:tyler.brown@nanaimo.ca)>; Jessica Stanley <[jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Leonard Krog <[leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca](mailto:leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; Lehann Wallace

<[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Leanne Salter <[leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca)>; Paul Manly <[paul.manly@nanaimo.ca](mailto:paul.manly@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Teunis Westbroek <[mayor@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:mayor@qualicumbeach.com)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Mark Swain <[mayor.swain@lantzville.ca](mailto:mayor.swain@lantzville.ca)>  
**Cc:** Brian Gregg <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>  
**Subject:** SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hello RDN Board Members. I am sending this email to each of you (I did not bcc your IDs as they are all public and I wanted you to see who this email has been sent to) to provide my input in support of the cellular tower proposed for 1477 Hodges Road in Parksville. I am a resident of Parksville and am directly impacted by cellular network coverage in the area where the tower is being proposed.

I'm sure you have received other correspondence from those who are opposed to this cell tower proposal. Too often, the minority opposition to such initiatives is often the most outspoken and I wanted to assure you, they are indeed the minority. I am trying to rally support for the tower and doing so for all the right reasons. I am encouraging majority supporters to affirm that support through the public consultation process.

Parksville and French Creek, like many areas on the island, suffer from lack of cellular network coverage. Sadly, this lack of coverage may not get the publicity it should given the impacts. The facts are that without this cellular coverage, the following may or will occur:

- 9-1-1 cannot be called which puts those having ONLY cellular coverage at risk should emergency services be required (for them or others)
- Arrowsmith Search and Rescue is limited in their communications when their volunteers are providing those critical services
- when fires break out, passersby are impeded in their efforts to engage various fire departments (we have had MANY fires over the past couple of months alone so this risk appears to be growing even more)
- children are at risk should they need to contact parents for a host of reasons (strangers near them with insidious acts in mind, someone gets hurt while playing a pick-up soccer

game, or simply very late arriving home and wanting to put parent's minds at ease....and SO many more)

- services in general (contractors trying to reach residents/suppliers...unable to do so which causes delays/uncertainty/cost, specialist appointments may be missed causing impacts to health, important phone calls regarding loved ones, increased costs because information cannot be obtained without getting to a cellular network area)

Parksville shares with Qualicum Beach the oldest demographic of seniors in Canada. Emergency services and health concerns are a paramount one for us all, including the government.

All of the above are facts, these are not just emotional statements. As well, while some folks may show concern about cell signal radiation, I enclose the following from the consultant's brief regarding such. Telus/Rogers are compliant with Health Canada's standards so if those concerns are real issues, it is Health Canada who should be changing those standards. I know of no such changes being considered, however, I am not well versed in this area.

*Safety Code 6 - ISED requires all wireless carriers to operate in accordance with Health Canada's safety standards.*

*TELUS and Rogers attest that the tower described in this notification package will be installed and operated on an*

*ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 including combined effects with the local radio*

*environment, as may be amended from time to time.*

I hope that each Board Member will strongly consider the important reasons why this cell tower should be approved. I also know a previous petition has been emailed to you and a new online petition has now also been initiated.

My list of reasons in support of the tower may not be comprehensive but I feel it speaks to the most important ones. Residents (and tourists alike) are the ones currently being impacted and this tower will go a long way towards alleviating all of the above communications concerns. I trust the Board will make the right decision for the vast majority of its constituents. Thank you for your consideration.

Kevin

Kevin Martin



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2023 10:58 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: TELUS PACKAGE FOR PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER"

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development

Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 11:04 AM  
**To:** Vic & Judy [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: TELUS PACKAGE FOR PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER"

Good morning,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

If you feel you were missed in the notification process I encourage you to contact the proponent's agent Brian Gregg for more information. [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--  
Vanessa Craig

**From:** Vic & Judy [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 8:53 AM  
**To:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: TELUS PACKAGE FOR PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER"

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Dear Ms. Craig,

It's come to our attention that back in December an information package was supposedly mailed out to every home within a certain radius of the proposed cell tower. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

WE DID NOT RECEIVE THE PACKAGE. I checked with the next door neighbours, who are also opposed to the tower, and neither the occupants of the two dwellings, or the owner of the property, received the package.

We also understand that it was posted in the Parksville Qualicum News. However, WE DO NOT GET A NEWSPAPER. There is a blue box down the road, but whenever we've checked, there has never been a paper. If we hadn't seen a flyer posted on our mailbox on February 11<sup>th</sup>, we would know nothing about the tower.

So no info. package, no newspaper and no public forum.

Please explain why we were never notified of this extremely important issue.

Regards,  
Judy & Vic Dougan  
[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows



## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Friday, February 3, 2023 11:29 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** FW: Telus/Rogers Proposed Cell Tower

### Lisa Grant

General Manager, Planning and Development  
Regional District of Nanaimo

6300 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N2

T: (250) 390-4111 ext. 6500 | C: (250) 268-6348 | Email: [lgrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lgrant@rdn.bc.ca)

[RDN](#) | [Get Involved RDN](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#)

This email is confidential and may be privileged; it is for the use of the named recipient(s) only. If you are not an intended recipient of this email, please notify the sender immediately and do not copy or disclose its contents to any person or body. Any use of this email by an unintended recipient is prohibited. The accuracy or completeness of the information attached to, or disclosed in this email is not guaranteed by the sender.

---

**From:** Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 1, 2023 1:29 PM  
**To:** Diane Lebel [REDACTED]; Lehann Wallace <[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; jessic.stanley@rdn.bc.ca; Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; leann.salter@rdn.bc.ca; Leonard Krog <[leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca](mailto:leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <[LGrant@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:LGrant@rdn.bc.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: Telus/Rogers Proposed Cell Tower

Good afternoon,  
Thank you for your email.

This potential cell tower project has not yet reached the RDN Board. At this stage, public consultation is being carried out by Telus and Rogers as part of their efforts in satisfying requirements of the federal government and the RDN for public consultation. It is an opportunity for residents to indicate to Telus and Rogers whether they're in support of, or have concerns with, the proposed location.

If you'd like to read more about the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents, the policy is here: [https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23\\_electoral\\_area\\_telecommunication\\_antenna\\_system\\_consultation.pdf](https://www.rdn.bc.ca/dms/documents/rdn-board-policies/planning/b1-23_electoral_area_telecommunication_antenna_system_consultation.pdf)

I hope this is helpful.  
Best regards,  
Vanessa Craig

--  
Vanessa Craig  
RDN Director Area B (Gabriola, Mudge, and DeCourcy Islands)

---

**From:** Diane Lebel [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 25, 2023 1:42 PM  
**To:** Lehann Wallace <[lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)>; tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca; Vanessa Craig <[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca)>; [jessic.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessic.stanley@rdn.bc.ca); Lauren Melanson <[lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca)>; Bob Rogers <[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca)>; Stuart McLean <[stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca)>; [leann.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leann.salter@rdn.bc.ca); Leonard Krog <[leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca](mailto:leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca)>; Sheryl Armstrong <[sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca)>; Ben Geselbracht <[ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca)>; Erin Hemmens <[erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca)>; Janice Perrino <[janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca)>; Ian Thorpe <[ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca)>; Doug O'Brien <[mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca)>; Sean Wood <[councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca)>  
**Subject:** Telus/Rogers Proposed Cell Tower

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**⚠ EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Attention Elected Officials,

I am writing to express my opposition and concerns regarding the installation of the TELUS/Rogers cell tower on 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville.

My concerns include but are not limited to:

General health and safety impact on surrounding area residents, property, domestic animals and wildlife, environment, liability issues and property values

These towers are not free from major issues such as structural collapse damage and fire.

These towers do not operate in isolation and require the location of other towers nearby to provide comprehensive cell and internet coverage

These towers will only increase in size.

These towers are highly visible (not as implied by telecom companies) that the surrounding trees will obstruct visibility especially of larger towers.

Informed consent and education regarding these towers is a very important and an overlooked issue. The telecom companies are only required to provide very basic due process and notification to the public regarding proposed development of the towers and far more importantly they are only required to provide standard rhetoric under Health Canada guidelines. This is a substantial conflict of interest as the telecom companies benefit greatly "bottom line".

I've attached links and information provided by Canadians for Safe Technology c4st.org  
Marcus Schluschen the EMF registered consultant

[https://anticelltowerlawyers.com/anti\\_cell\\_lawyer\\_1\\_020.htm#:~:text=Some%20of%20the%20most%20common%20areas%20and%20elements,Tower%20burning%20as%20it%20collapsed%20to%20the%20ground](https://anticelltowerlawyers.com/anti_cell_lawyer_1_020.htm#:~:text=Some%20of%20the%20most%20common%20areas%20and%20elements,Tower%20burning%20as%20it%20collapsed%20to%20the%20ground)

<https://ehtrust.org/cell-tower-safety-risks-fires-and-collapse/>

<https://www.bing.com/images/search?q=cell+towers+collapsing+on+fire&qpv=cell+towers+collapsing+on+fire&form=IGRE&first=1&tsc=ImageHoverTitle>

It's imperative that as our elected officials you hear and serve all residents as a whole. There are options that would suffice for all including locating these towers set back away from the public where people animals and businesses will not be substantially impacted. ie crown land

Furthermore the willingness for elected officials (RDN) to allow this tower and other massive towers in residential areas and on ALR farmland (but a secondary residence is not allowed neither are subdivisions without strict adherence to bylaws) is completely unacceptable.

Please carefully listen to those of us who have substantial concerns as we do understand progress economic development technology and building for the future. We want a healthy and safe future for current and future generations

Sincerely  
Diane Lebel

 Parksville

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 8, 2023 6:39 PM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** Fwd: Please vote YES for the French Creek cell tower

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>  
**Date:** February 8, 2023 at 5:53:26 PM PST  
**To:** Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Please vote YES for the French Creek cell tower

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Hi Lisa,  
FYI  
Thank you for keeping track of all this input.  
Teunis

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Andy Gilman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 8, 2023 5:46:36 PM  
**To:** Teunis Westbroek <TWestbroek@qualicumbeach.com>  
**Subject:** Please vote YES for the French Creek cell tower

Hi Teunis,

As Mayor and a member of RDN Board, I imagine you are getting lots of correspondence on this issue. I live on [REDACTED] in French Creek and will be served by this important improvement in service.

There is much false information being spread about the dangers to children, animals and seniors from electromagnetic radiation (EMF). Much comes from a lobby group whose members do not live in our neighbourhood.

Web sites such as those from the World Health Organization, the International Agency for Research on Cancer and the federal and environmental departments of North American and European countries are being ignored in favor of conspiracy sites that claim studies (that not peer reviewed) have proven that cell towers are harmful to health. As a health scientist with t [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], I fully support this cell tower installation.

Please vote yes so we can finally access reliable cell service in our area for 911 calls and for undertaking day-to-day business.

Thanks Teunis, you seem to be doing a good job listening to people.

Andrew Gilman

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Lisa Grant  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 9:19 AM  
**To:** Paul Thompson; Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** Fwd: TELUS PACKAGE FOR PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER"

FYI  
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Douglas Holmes <DHolmes@rdn.bc.ca>  
**Date:** February 9, 2023 at 9:17:54 AM PST  
**To:** Leonard Krog <leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca>  
**Cc:** Lisa Grant <LGrant@rdn.bc.ca>  
**Subject:** Re: TELUS PACKAGE FOR PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER"

Thank you, Director Krog.

Lisa Grant will take care of this.

This is an active file and a full report will go to the EA Directors Committee with the outcome of that meeting eventually reaching the Board.

Regards,  
Doug.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** Mayor Leonard Krog <Leonard.Krog@nanaimo.ca>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 9, 2023 9:04 AM  
**To:** Vic & Judy [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Douglas Holmes <dholmes@rdn.bc.ca>  
**Subject:** Re: TELUS PACKAGE FOR PROPOSED HODGES ROAD CELL TOWER"

 **EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Thanks for this and not sure why you aren't connecting with your local rep but forwarding this to staff.  
Best,  
Leonard

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 9, 2023, at 9:02 AM, Vic & Judy [REDACTED] wrote:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Krog,

It's come to our attention that back in December an information package was supposedly mailed out to every home within a certain radius of the proposed cell tower. [REDACTED]

WE DID NOT RECEIVE THE PACKAGE. I checked with the next door neighbours, who are also opposed to the tower, and neither the occupants of the two dwellings, or the owner of the property, received the package.

We also understand that it was posted in the Parksville Qualicum News. However, WE DO NOT GET A NEWSPAPER. There is a blue box down the road, but whenever we've checked, there has never been a paper. If we hadn't seen a flyer posted on our mailbox on February 11<sup>th</sup>, we would know nothing about the tower.

So no info. package, no newspaper and no public forum.

Please explain why we were never notified of this extremely important issue.

Regards,  
Judy & Vic Dougan

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** maria chand [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 7, 2023 8:56 AM  
**To:** Gord.Johns@parl.gc.ca; Kristy Marks; Marc.Miller@parl.gc.ca; briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com; ic.spectrumvictoria-victoriaspectre.ic@canada.ca; slickers@afn.ca  
**Subject:** Re: Cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville BC

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** maria chand [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Tue, Feb 7, 2023 at 8:42 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville BC  
**To:** <[Gord.Johns@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Gord.Johns@parl.gc.ca)>, <[KMarks@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:KMarks@rdn.bc.ca)>, <[Marc.Miller@parl.gc.ca](mailto:Marc.Miller@parl.gc.ca)>, [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com), <[briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)>, <ic.spectrumvictoria-victoriaspectre.i

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** maria chand [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Mon, Jan 16, 2023 at 12:19 PM  
**Subject:** Cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, Parksville BC  
**To:** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Along with other neighbours we did receive notification of this tower planned to be erected [REDACTED]

We like to share our position on this matter.

We are not against having a better wireless signal in our area [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] We agree that having a tower will solve the problem. And of course this service will be needed for future generation as they will be required to live their lives in the future that is more and more dependent on wireless communications

However we strongly believe that Rogers and Telus can do a better job of providing this service not only in French Creek but right across all of Canada by partnering with StarLink satellite which already has 3270 satellites in orbit and functioning (as of Nov. 2022) and has FCC approval to launch additional 7500.. Their plan is to have a total of 40,000 within 5 years to cover the globe.



As a point of interest, Canadian Federal Govt already uses StarLink services and pays for internet coverage for one of the First Nations under Federal Govt commitment to make services available to First Nations across Canada under a multi-billion dollar funding in place.

It may be noted here that Canada has been in commercial satellite business since 1969 when Teleset was established. Anik 1 , first domestic satellite was launched in 1972. Canada is not a laggard , witness Canada Arm for space Station and NASA partnership to provide technology for future space missions.

Using satellite for commercial use is nothing new here. We suggest that wireless companies in Canada, with annual revenue of almost \$60 billions, and market capitalization of almost 130 BILLION \$\$\$, can well afford to go to satellite platform rather ground towers given that we, as a nation, have major national obligation to rectify economic disparities with the First Nations whose territories are primarily located in areas where ground based services are not existent.

In addition to that all of our country will benefit, not just the First Nations.

Recommendation:

This project should be postponed until the concerned citizens make a better proposal to the Federal Govt, with the support of National Assembly of First Nations, to order Rogers, Telus and Bell to provide a Nation wide solution by establishing a partnership with StarLink to enable internet service wherever it is needed.

Suggest the group contacts the local MP to compile distribution list for this info to various depts in the Federal Govt. It should also be sent to provincial ministries responsible for First Nations

We respectfully provide this to the group for their consideration and use.

We are not inclined to be active in this process and hope the group will respect our wish.

Thank you for the opportunity to share with you our point of view.

Maria and Kris Chand

## Kristy Marks

---

**From:** Kevin Martin [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 6, 2023 9:52 AM  
**To:** Kristy Marks  
**Subject:** Re: SUPPORT for the cellular tower proposal in French Creek/Parksville

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL** Verify links before clicking.

Good morning, Kristy. Thought I would also forward you the following email text that I sent to RDN Board Members.

I received a response from the RDN Board Chair, Vanessa Craig, and she also provided me with a link to the process detailing the role of the RDN in the process of siting an antenna system, and the types of information that the RDN requires from proponents. I know that as a member of the planning group, you will likely be one of the key folks preparing the report that goes forward to the Board for their consideration. That said, I wanted you to be aware of my correspondence with them but as well, its content.

I'm sure you've likely received a fair amount of correspondence from those opposed to the tower for various reasons. The main one they cite is safety. I must believe that with all the science and requirements set forth by the different authorities for cellular tower projects, those particular safety issues may not be well founded as this tower will comply with all such requirements. As well, from a safety perspective, the REAL risks for residents/tourists without cellular coverage in the area are very significant and identified below. I hope you will review the following and reach out should you have other questions for me. Thanks so much for your consideration.

=====  
=====

Hello RDN Board Members. I am sending this email to each of you (I did not bcc your IDs as they are all public and I wanted you to see who this email has been sent to) to provide my input in support of the cellular tower proposed for 1477 Hodges Road in Parksville. I am a resident of Parksville and am directly impacted by cellular network coverage in the area where the tower is being proposed.

I'm sure you have received other correspondence from those who are opposed to this cell tower proposal. Too often, the minority opposition to such initiatives is often the most outspoken and I wanted to assure you, they are indeed the minority. I am trying to rally support for the tower

and doing so for all the right reasons. I am encouraging majority supporters to affirm that support through the public consultation process.

Parksville and French Creek, like many areas on the island, suffer from lack of cellular network coverage. Sadly, this lack of coverage may not get the publicity it should given the impacts. The facts are that without this cellular coverage, the following may or will occur:

- 9-1-1 cannot be called which puts those having ONLY cellular coverage at risk should emergency services be required (for them or others)
- Arrowsmith Search and Rescue is limited in their communications when their volunteers are providing those critical services
- when fires break out, passersby are impeded in their efforts to engage various fire departments (we have had MANY fires over the past couple of months alone so this risk appears to be growing even more)
- children are at risk should they need to contact parents for a host of reasons (strangers near them with insidious acts in mind, someone gets hurt while playing a pick-up soccer game, or simply very late arriving home and wanting to put parent's minds at ease....and SO many more)
- services in general (contractors trying to reach residents/suppliers...unable to do so which causes delays/uncertainty/cost, specialist appointments may be missed causing impacts to health, important phone calls regarding loved ones, increased costs because information cannot be obtained without getting to a cellular network area)

Parksville shares with Qualicum Beach the oldest demographic of seniors in Canada. Emergency services and health concerns are a paramount one for us all, including the government.

All of the above are facts, these are not just emotional statements. As well, while some folks may show concern about cell signal radiation, I enclose the following from the consultant's brief regarding such. Telus/Rogers are compliant with Health Canada's standards so if those concerns are real issues, it is Health Canada who should be changing those standards. I know of no such changes being considered, however, I am not well versed in this area.

*Safety Code 6 - ISED requires all wireless carriers to operate in accordance with Health Canada's safety standards.*

*TELUS and Rogers attest that the tower described in this notification package will be installed and operated on an*

*ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 including combined effects with the local radio*

*environment, as may be amended from time to time.*

I hope that each Board Member will strongly consider the important reasons why this cell tower should be approved. I also know a previous petition has been emailed to you and a new online petition has now also been initiated.

My list of reasons in support of the tower may not be comprehensive but I feel it speaks to the most important ones. Residents (and tourists alike) are the ones currently being impacted and this tower will go a long way towards alleviating all of the above communications concerns. I trust the Board will make the right decision for the vast majority of its constituents. Thank you for your consideration.

Kevin

Kevin Martin  


Feb 19 2023

Dear Ms. Craig

Soon members of the RDN will vote on the proposed cell tower on Hodges Road in French Creek.

Thank you for responding to my earlier email. I did receive a few responses from board members of the RDN, but am very disappointed that most didn't feel it necessary to respond.

We are in total opposition to this. Our questions and concerns have been requested and summarily dismissed by the Telus/Rogers representative, Brian Gregg which makes us question why we were asked at all.

A few comments:

- From the Telus/Rogers proposal *"The proposed tower location was chosen because it is set back from adjacent residences and partially screened by mature trees"*.
  - You'll notice they don't specify how far it is "set back". It is extremely close to the residences on Lowry's and Hodges Road.
  - The tallest trees aren't any higher than 100 feet with the proposed tower being over 200 feet, so "partially screened" is laughable.
  - The property owner's house is as far as possible from the site as it can be and still be on the property, so they can collect the rent while placing the tower close to our homes.
- Canada Safety Code 6 is the document that Brian Gregg cites. All of the reference documents are dated 2001 to 2013, with the code published in 2015. The first 5G tower was not built until 2019 and the technology is significantly different than even 4G.
- Health and safety of people, pets, livestock and the multitude of birds and animals in this area are our main concerns. There are babies and small children in close proximity to this site.
- The ability to reach 911 is being pushed as one of the reasons for this tower. If that were the only case, 1G would suffice. Access to 911 is a red herring.

We do not give our approval to have a 5G cell tower in this location, and we only ask that you consider our health over and above the greed of these large corporations and the people who only want to collect a significant amount of annual rent to have it located on their property.

Yours truly,  
Val and Greg Lea

Parksville, BC



RECEIVED

FEB 02 2023

REGIONAL DISTRICT  
of NANAIMO

Nanaimo B.C. -  
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Gregg,

I am writing to you, on behalf of some close friends of mine, who have asked me to support them in protesting the installation of the 207 foot cell tower at 1477 Hodges Road, in Oceanside. They, as you know, are very concerned about the harmful effects of EMF radiation that will be emitted from that tower, to that whole area around the Morning Star Farm. As I am sure you are aware of the fact that that is a nesting area of the rare local white ravens, and also of many other birds, such as songbirds and humming birds, and also of eagles. I sincerely hope you can find an alternative location, on which to erect this tower, which would be less damaging to our environment. I remain yours sincerely  
R. Bryan Rutgard.

January 25 2023

## **re: 1477 Hodges Road 63 metre, 207 foot cell tower Telus/Rogers**

**To Brian Gregg: [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com) [must be in by Feb. 10th]**

To Lehann Wallace, Area Director, [lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca)

To all Regional Directors of Nanaimo.

[vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:vanessa.craig@rdn.bc.ca), [tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:tyler.brown@rdn.bc.ca), [jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:jessica.stanley@rdn.bc.ca), [lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lauren.melanson@rdn.bc.ca),

[bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:bob.rogers@rdn.bc.ca), [lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:lehann.wallace@rdn.bc.ca), [stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:stuart.mclean@rdn.bc.ca), [leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca](mailto:leanne.salter@rdn.bc.ca), [leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca](mailto:leonard.krog@nanaimo.ca), [sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca](mailto:sheryl.armstrong@nanaimo.ca), [ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ben.geselbracht@nanaimo.ca), [erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca](mailto:erin.hemmens@nanaimo.ca), [paul.manly@nanaimo.ca](mailto:paul.manly@nanaimo.ca), [janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca](mailto:janice.perrino@nanaimo.ca), [ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca](mailto:ian.thorpe@nanaimo.ca), [mayor@parksville.ca](mailto:mayor@parksville.ca), [councillorwood@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorwood@parksville.ca), [mark.swain@lantzville.ca](mailto:mark.swain@lantzville.ca), [Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:Twestbroek@qualicumbeach.com), [askipse@qualicumbeach.com](mailto:askipse@qualicumbeach.com), [councillorgaur@parksville.ca](mailto:councillorgaur@parksville.ca)

There are several new studies which have established the undeniable validity of harm occurring from Electro Magnetic Radiation emission from cell towers located within striking distance of human settlements. Scientists globally are providing data establishing the damage caused by radiation from cell towers. These include cancer (with a high incidence of gliomas (brain cancer), dizziness, brain fog, vomiting, rashes, DNA changes, infertility, exhaustion and more.

In fact, fragile ecosystems, plants, trees, bees, birds, aquatic life, are all negatively impacted by EMR. Bees and pollinator populations are in decline and are not recovering to date.

EMR impacts our overall environment, causing rapid health decline of surrounding trees, ground cover beneath the dying trees, invertebrates, marine life, animal, and avian populations - especially the local rare White Raven.

The current tower is intended to be placed on Hodges Road in French Creek area. This location is on the border of Coombs and within the nesting territory of the local white raven. This is the only known population of the raven with the recessive gene.

EMR does not recognize electoral boundaries which will affect the overall health of Qualicum residents and nearby elementary school children, as well as Parksville, Coombs, Hilliers and French Creek. EMR will cause serious consequences to the White Raven population, including Eagles, Owls, and raptors within the French Creek catchment area. Songbird populations will be reduced along with our local Anna's hummingbirds. EMR kills off the food sources for resident avian populations causing a spiral regarding the overall health of the environment.

There is currently a bird watching society who often meet in the morning hours on Hodges Road to observe the local Eagle population and enjoy the healthy flora and fauna available in this area.

Electromagnetic radiation has been classified by the WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer, as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). Further, scientists have recently advised that considering the current level of published research on brain tumors and radio frequency radiation, RFR should now be listed as a Class 1 Known Carcinogen. In addition, Safety Code 6 must be updated to reflect prevailing research, as it was created in the 1970's, focusing only on federal employees and visitors to federal buildings. The code was expanded to include Wi-Fi, smart phones, smart meters, and cell towers; however, there have been no major revisions over the last 30 years regarding EMR damage.

In addition, there is no safety allowance for children or pregnant women. [see links below]

Considering our track record in North America and the approval of substances such as tobacco, asbestos, BPA, thalidomide, DDT, and urea formaldehyde insulation, citizen confidence in the safety code is minimal at best.

Hopefully the RDN board will understand the pandemonium that occurs as a result of adding EMR to our once healthy environments and the surrounding population.

The RDN board state they are extremely concerned about the state of the environment. This is the action that we, as local citizens solicit from our elected officials to avoid further destruction of the sensitive ecosystem that all living things rely on.

How much more of our current wellbeing will be traded in return for convenience when corporations can use alternative methods of delivering cell phone services to customers with no defoliation, loss of habitat, environmental contamination or impact to health and well being of residents? Only last year, the RDN board passed a motion to support fiber optic in some communities including Nanaimo. This could be used in French Creek with less damage to our fragile ecosystem.

Respectfully Submitted Please Confirm Reciept

Jeff Coombs





**COMMENT SHEET**  
**PROPOSED TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER**  
**COORDINATES: 49.333209, -124.367044**  
**REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO**  
**TELUS FILE: BC106996 - MORNINGSTAR**  
**ROGERS FILE: W3030 - FRENCH CREEK**

1. Are you a cellular phone or wireless device user?

- Yes  
 No

2. Do you feel this is an appropriate location for the proposed facility?

- Yes  
 No

Comments

*Excellent location long overdue  
and can service a large  
area, now and in the future.*

3. Are you satisfied with the appearance / design of the proposed facility? If not, what changes would you suggest?

- Yes  
 No

Comments

Additional Comments

*we reside at [redacted] French Creek / Horseshoe  
Current cell service is extremely poor, affecting  
cell phones as well as security of our homes.  
This proposal for cell tower is very encouraging  
and HAS your full support.*

Please provide your name and full mailing address if you would like to be informed about the status of this proposal. This information will not be used for marketing purposes.

Name

*VINCENT + Mary CASEY*  
(Please print clearly)

Mailing Address

Email Address

Please email to [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
or mail to PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1  
ATTENTION: Brian Gregg  
by February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

Thank you for your input.

COMMENT SHEET  
PROPOSED TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER  
COORDINATES: 49.333209, -124.367044  
REGIONAL DISTRICT OF NANAIMO  
TELUS FILE: BC106996 - MORNINGSTAR  
ROGERS FILE: W3030 - FRENCH CREEK

1. Are you a cellular phone or wireless device user?  
 Yes  
 No
2. Do you feel this is an appropriate location for the proposed facility?  
 Yes  
 No

Comments

THE LOCATION IS IDEAL. THIS LOCATION IS REMOTE FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND WITH CURRENT ZONING, IS UNLIKELY TO BE ENCROACHED UPON BY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE FUTURE.

3. Are you satisfied with the appearance / design of the proposed facility? If not, what changes would you suggest?  
 Yes  
 No

Comments

THE APPEARANCE AND DESIGN OF THE TOWER AND SUPPORT STRUCTURES IS ACCEPTABLE. THE TOWER WILL BLEND IN WELL WITH THE EXISTING FORESTRY NORTH OF THE TOWER


Additional Comments

WE WELCOME THIS PROJECT. AS OUTLINED IN THE PROPOSAL, WE HAVE SUFFERED WITH INADEQUATE CELLULAR SERVICE FOR A LONG TIME. IT IS ADMIRABLE THAT TELUS AND ROGERS CAN COOPERATE AND UTILIZE THE SAME INFRASTRUCTURE TO PROVIDE IMPROVED SERVICE. WE ARE OPTIMISTIC THAT THIS PROJECT WILL PROCEED

Please provide your name and full mailing address if you would like to be informed about the status of this proposal. This information will not be used for marketing purposes.

Name JAMES GIBNEY  
(Please print clearly)

Mailing Address 

Email Address 

Please email to [briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com](mailto:briangregg@sitepathconsulting.com)  
or mail to PO Box 20138, Vancouver RPO, Fairview, BC V5Z 0C1  
ATTENTION: Brian Gregg  
by February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

Thank you for your input.